arXiv:dg-ga/9702006v2 13 Feb 1997

# Moduli spaces of $PU(2)$-monopoles

Andrei Teleman*
Mathematisches Institut Universität Zürich
Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

## 0 Introduction

It is known ([OT4], [OT5], [PT2]) that the most natural way to prove the equivalence between Donaldson theory and Seiberg-Witten theory is to consider a suitable moduli space of "non-abelian monopoles". In [OT5] it was shown that an $S^1$-quotient of a moduli space of quaternionic monopoles should give an homological equivalence between a fibration over a union of Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces and a fibration over certain $Spin^c$-moduli spaces [PT1].

By the same method, but using moduli spaces of $PU(2)$-monopoles instead of quaternionic monopoles, one should be able to express any Donaldson polynomial invariant in terms of Seiberg-Witten invariants associated with the twisted abelian monopole equations of [OT6]. In [T1], [T2], we have shown that this idea can be generalized to express Donaldson-type polynomial invariants associated with higher symmetry groups in terms of new Seiberg-Witten-type invariants.

This paper is dedicated to two important problems (see [T1], [T2], [OT5]) concerning moduli spaces of $PU(2)$-monopoles, which are crucial for all further developments.

First we prove an $S^1$-equivariant transversality theorem: we define perturbations of the equations which lead to $S^1$-spaces which, for generic choices of the perturbing parameters, are smooth, at least outside the "Donaldson

---

*Partially supported by: AGE-Algebraic Geometry in Europe, contract No ERBCHRXCT940557 (BBW 93.0187), and by SNF, nr. 21-36111.92

locus" (the vanishing locus of the projection on the spinor component) and of the abelian locus. The abelian subspaces become smooth, and the complement of the zero sections in the fibrations of "normal deformations" and of "normal obstructions" of an abelian subspace becomes smooth of the corresponding expected dimension. We show that the proofs of the corresponding transversality theorems for other versions of moduli spaces of non-abelian connections coupled with harmonic spinors ([PT1], [PT2]) are incomplete, by indicating counterexamples to one of the statements on which these proofs are based. It is interesting to notice that in fact *any* solution of the equations in the Kähler case gives a counterexample to this statement.

The perturbed moduli spaces we construct become smooth excepting in the abelian points, and in the Donaldson-points. These points are exceptions to transversality. In general transversality *cannot* be achieved in the these points by using $S^1$-equivariant perturbations.

Second, we prove the existence of an "Uhlenbeck compactification" for the perturbed moduli space. Note that in the problem is much more difficult in the perturbed case as in the non-perturbed one. The terms containing first order derivatives are no longer linear, and the symbol of the linearized equations has not diagonal form. It is also no longer possible to get an apriori $\mathcal{C}^0$-bound for the spinor component, but we are able to prove that such an apriori bound does exist in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the non-perturbed moduli space in the space of ideal pairs, as soon as the perturbations are sufficiently small. We construct an Uhlenbeck compactification for the moduli space of those solutions of the perturbed equations which belong to this neighbourhood.

The problem is much simpler for the non-perturbed equations. For instance on closed manifolds one gets easily an apriori $\mathcal{C}^0$-bound of the spinor component, as in the abelian case. This shows in particular that the self-dual component of the curvatures of a sequence of solutions cannot bubble, which is essential in constructing the Uhlenbeck compactification. The corresponding result in the case of perturbed equations is a more delicate point. On the other hand, one can prove stronger local regularity properties for solutions of the non-perturbed equations. For example local estimates, convergence properties of sequences of solutions with bounded curvature, Removable Singularities-theorem also hold without assuming the $L^\infty$-boundedness of the spinor component. This is why in section 2 we give a complete proof in the non-perturbed case, which can be easily generalized to other non-abelian Seiberg-Witten equations with larger symmetry groups ([T1], [T2]). We follow the same strategy as in the instanton case [DK], which can be summarized as follows:

Local estimates – Regularity – Removable Singularities – Compactification.

In section 3.3, dedicated to the Uhlenbeck compactification of the perturbed moduli space, we prove only the results which require new arguments.

Note that in order to prove the equivalence between the Donaldson and the Seiberg-Witten theories, it remains only to give explicit descriptions of the ends of the moduli space towards the Donaldson locus and the the ideal locus. The first problem is treated in [T1]. Further results on the description of the ends, as well as generalizations to larger symmetry groups will be the subject of a future paper.

I thank Professor Ch. Okonek for valuable suggestions and discussions, as well as for the careful reading of the manuscript.

# 1 $PU(2)$-monopoles

## 1.1 The $Spin^{U(2)}$ group and $Spin^{U(2)}$-structures

The group $Spin^{U(2)}$ is defined by

$$Spin^{U(2)} := Spin \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} U(2)\ .$$

Using the natural isomorphism ${}^{U(2)}/_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \simeq PU(2) \times S^1$, we get the exact sequences

$$\begin{gathered} 1 \longrightarrow Spin \longrightarrow Spin^{U(2)} \xrightarrow{(\bar\delta,\det)} PU(2)\times S^1 \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 \longrightarrow U(2) \longrightarrow Spin^{U(2)} \xrightarrow{\pi} SO \longrightarrow 1 \qquad (1) \\ 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow Spin^{U(2)} \xrightarrow{(\pi,\bar\delta,\det)} SO \times PU(2)\times S^1 \longrightarrow 1\ . \end{gathered}$$

Let $X$ be a compact manifold and $P^u$ a $Spin^{U(2)}$-bundle over $X$. We consider the following associated bundles

$$\pi(P^u) := P^u \times_\pi SO,\ \bar\delta(P^u) := P^u \times_{\bar\delta} PU(2),\ \det(P^u) := P^u \times_{\det} S^1,$$

$$\mathbb{G}_0 := P^u \times_{Ad\circ\bar\delta} SU(2);\ \mathfrak{g}_0 := P^u \times_{ad\circ\bar\delta} SU(2)\ ,$$

where $Ad : PU(2) \longrightarrow Aut(SU(2))$, $ad : PU(2) \longrightarrow so(su(2))$ are induced by the adjoint morphism $SU(2) \longrightarrow Aut(SU(2))$, $SU(2) \longrightarrow so(su(2))$.

The group of sections $\mathcal{G}_0 := \Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_0)$ can be identified with the group of automorphisms of $P^u$ over $\pi(P^u) \times_X \det(P^u)$. After suitable Sobolev completions it becomes a Lie group, whose Lie algebra is the corresponding completion of $A^0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Let $P$ be a $SO$ bundle over $X$. A $Spin^{U(2)}$-structure in $P$ is a morphism $P^u \longrightarrow P$ of type $\pi$, where $P^u$ is a $Spin^{U(2)}$-bundle. Two $Spin^{U(2)}$-structures $P^u \longrightarrow P$, $P'^u \longrightarrow P$ in $P$ are called equivalent if the bundles $P^u$, $P'^u$ are isomorphic over $P$. A $Spin^{U(2)}(n)$-structure in an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold $(X, g)$ is a $Spin^{U(2)}(n)$-structure in the bundle $P_g$ of oriented coframes.

We refer to [T1], [T2] for the following classification result:

**Proposition 1.1.1** *Let $P$ be a principal $SO$-bundle, $\bar P$ a $PU(2)$-bundle, and $L$ a Hermitian line bundle over $X$.*
*i) $P$ admits a $Spin^{U(2)}$-structure $P^u \to P$ with*

$$P^u \times_{\bar\delta} PU(2) \simeq \bar P\ ,\quad P^u \times_{\det} \mathbb{C} \simeq L$$

*if and only if $w_2(P) = w_2(\bar P) + \overline{c}_1(L)$, where $\overline{c}_1(L)$ is the mod 2 reduction of $c_1(L)$ .*
*ii) If the base $X$ is a compact oriented 4-manifold, then the map*

$$P^u \longmapsto ([P^u \times_{\bar\delta} PU(2)], [P^u \times_{\det} \mathbb{C}])$$

*defines a 1-1 correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of $Spin^{U(2)}$-structures in $P$ and the set of pairs of isomorphism classes $([\bar P], [L])$, where $\bar P$ is a $PU(2)$-bundle and $L$ an $S^1$-bundle with $w_2(P) = w_2(\bar P) + \overline{c}_1(L)$. The latter set can be identified with*

$$\{(p, c) \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) |\ p \equiv (w_2(P) + \bar c)^2 \bmod 4\}$$

$\blacksquare$

The group $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$ can be written as

$$Spin^{U(2)}(4) = {}^{SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_- \times U(2)}\!/_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \ ,$$

hence it comes with natural orthogonal representations

$$\mathrm{ad}_\pm : Spin^{U(2)}(4) \longrightarrow so(su(2)),$$

defined by the adjoint representations of $SU(2)_\pm$, and with natural unitary representations

$$\sigma_\pm : Spin^{U(2)}(4) \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{H}_\pm \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^2)$$

obtained by coupling the canonical representations of $SU(2)_\pm$ with the canonical representation of $U(2)$.

We denote by $\mathrm{ad}_\pm(P^u)$, $\Sigma^\pm(P^u)$ the corresponding associated vector bundles. The Hermitian 4-bundles $\Sigma^\pm(P^u)$ are called the spinor bundles of $P^u$, and the sections in these bundles are called spinors.

We refer to [T2] the following simple result

**Proposition 1.1.2** *Let $P$ be an $SO(4)$-bundle whose second Stiefel-Whitney class admits integral lifts.*

*There is a 1-1 correspondence between isomorphism classes of $Spin^{U(2)}$-structures in $P$ and equivalence classes of pairs consisting of a $Spin^c(4)$-structure $P^c \longrightarrow P$ in $P$ and a $U(2)$-bundle $E$. Two pairs are considered equivalent if, after tensoring the first one with a line bundle, they become isomorphic over $P$.*

Suppose that $P^u$ is associated with the pair $(P^c, E)$, and let $\Sigma^\pm$ be the spinor bundles corresponding to $P^c$. Then the associated bundles $\Sigma^\pm(P^u)$, $\bar{\delta}(P^u)$, $\det(P^u)$, $\mathbb{G}(P^u)$, $\mathbb{G}_0(P^u)$ can be expressed in terms of the pair $(P^c, E)$ as follows:

$$\Sigma^\pm(P^u) = [\Sigma^\pm]^\vee \otimes E = \Sigma^\pm \otimes E^\vee \otimes [\det(P^u)],\ \bar{\delta}(P^u) \simeq {}^{P_E}\!/_{S^1},\ \mathrm{ad}_\pm(P^u) = su(\Sigma^\pm)$$

$$\det(P^u) \simeq \det(P^c)^{-1} \otimes (\det E),\ \mathbb{G}_0(P^u) = SU(E),\ \mathfrak{g}_0(P^u) = su(E)\ .$$

A $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $P^u \longrightarrow P$ in a $SO(4)$-bundle $P$ defines a Clifford map

$$\gamma : P \times_{SO(4)} \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}_0}(\Sigma^+(P^u), \Sigma^-(P^u)) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma^+(P^u), \Sigma^-(P^u))$$

and canonical isomorphisms

$$\Gamma_\pm : \Lambda^2_\pm(P \times_{SO(4)} \mathbb{R}^4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}_\pm(P^u) \subset su(\Sigma^\pm(P^u))\ .$$

## 1.2 The $PU(2)$-monopole equations

Let $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ be a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure in the oriented compact Riemannian 4-manifold $(X,g)$. Fix a connection $a \in \mathcal{A}(\det(P^u))$. Using the third exact sequence in (1), we see that the data of a connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(\bar\delta(P^u))$ is equivalent to the data of a connection $B_{A,a}$ in $P^u$ which lifts the Levi-Civita connection in $P_g$ and the fixed connection $a$ in $\det(P^u)$ (via the maps $P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ and $P^u \longrightarrow \det(P^u)$ respectively). The Dirac operator $\not{D}_{A,a}$ associated with the pair $(A,a)$ is the first order elliptic operator

$$\not{D}_{A,a} : A^0(\Sigma^{\pm}(P^u)) \xrightarrow{\nabla_{B_{A,a}}} A^1(\Sigma^{\pm}(P^u)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} A^0(\Sigma^{\mp}(P^u))$$

Regarded as operator $\Sigma^+(P^u) \oplus \Sigma^-(P^u) \longrightarrow \Sigma^+(P^u) \oplus \Sigma^-(P^u)$, the Dirac operator $\not{D}_{A,a}$ is also selfadjoint.

We define the quadratic map $\mu_{00} : \Sigma^{\pm}(P^u) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}_+(P^u) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0$ by

$$\mu_{00}(\Psi) := pr_{\mathrm{ad}_+(P^u)\otimes\mathfrak{g}_0}(\Psi \otimes \bar\Psi) ,$$

where $pr_{\mathrm{ad}_+(P^u)\otimes\mathfrak{g}_0}$ denotes the orthogonal projection

$$\mathrm{Herm}(\Sigma^+(P^u)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}_+(P^u) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 .$$

We introduce now the $PU(2)$-Seiberg-Witten equations $SW^\sigma_a$ associated to the pair $(\sigma,a)$, which are equations for a pair $(A,\Psi)$ formed by a $PU(2)$-connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(\bar\delta(P^u))$ and a positive spinor $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u))$:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} \not{D}_{A,a}\Psi & = & 0 \\ \Gamma(F_A^+) & = & \mu_{00}(\Psi) \end{array}\right. \qquad (SW^\sigma_a)$$

The natural symmetry group of the equations is the gauge group $\mathcal{G}_0 := \Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_0)$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}^\sigma_a$ the moduli space

$$\mathcal{M}^\sigma_a := {\left[\mathcal{A}(\bar\delta(P^u)) \times A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u))\right]^{SW^\sigma_a}}\Big/_{\mathcal{G}_0} ,$$

where $\left[\mathcal{A}(\bar\delta(P^u)) \times A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u))\right]^{SW^\sigma_a}$ denote the space of solutions of the equations $(SW^\sigma_a)$. Using the well-known Kuranishi method one can endow $\mathcal{M}^\sigma_a$ with the structure of a ringed space, which has locally the form ${Z(\theta)}/_{G}$, where $G$ is a closed subgroup of $SU(2)$ acting on finite dimensional vector spaces $H^1$, $H^2$, and $Z(\theta)$ is the real analytic space cut-out by a $G$-equivariant real analytic map $H^1 \supset U \xrightarrow{\theta} H^2$ (see [OT5], [T1], [T2] for details).

# 2 The Uhlenbeck Compactification

## 2.1 Local estimates

Fix two copies $\mathbb{H}_\pm$ of the quaternionic skew-field $\mathbb{H}$ regarded as right complex and quaternionic vector spaces. Let $U$ be a manifold diffeomorphic to the 4-ball and let $S_0^\pm := U \times \mathbb{H}_\pm$ be two trivial $SU(2)$-bundles on $U$. Every linear isomorphism

$$\gamma : \Lambda^1_U \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S_0^+, S_0^-) = U \times \mathbb{H}$$

gives a trivialization of $T_U$ and hence defines a metric $g_\gamma$ on $U$ such that $\gamma$ becomes the Clifford multiplication of a $Spin(4)$-structure $\mathfrak{c}_\gamma$ in $(U, g_\gamma)$.

On the other hand, the Clifford maps $\Lambda^1_U \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S_0^+, S_0^-)$ corresponding to a metric $g$ are well defined only up to a transformation in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U, SO(4))$. Therefore it is more convenient to consider variable (linear isomorphic) Clifford maps

$$\gamma : \Lambda^1_U \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S_0^+, S_0^-) = U \times \mathbb{H}$$

rather than variable metrics on $U$. In this way we get a simple way of parameterizing the pairs consisting of a metric on $U$ and a $Spin(4)$-structure with respect to this metric. Let $\mathcal{C}l_U \subset A^0(\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^1_U, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S_0^+, S_0^-)))$ be the space of Clifford maps on $U$.

The $Spin(4)$-structure $\mathfrak{c}_\gamma$ will be called the trivial $Spin^c(4)$-structure associated with $\gamma$. Similarly, let $E_0 = U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ be the trivial Hermitian rank 2-vector bundle on $U$. The $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure associated to $\gamma$ and $E_0$ via the morphism $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(2) \longrightarrow Spin^{U(2)}(4)$ will be called the trivial $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure associated to $\gamma$, and will be denoted by $\mathfrak{u}_\gamma$.

If $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ is an arbitrary $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure on a Riemannian 4-manifold $X$, a (local) trivialisation of $\sigma$ on a contractible open subset $U$ is by definition an isomorphism between $\sigma|_U$ and a trivial $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure on $U$ associated to a Clifford map $\gamma$ with $g_\gamma = g|_U$. A local trivialisation of $\sigma$ trivializes also the spinor bundles and the determinant bundles $\bar{\delta}(P^u)$, $\det(P^u)$ of $\sigma$.

The essential difference between the anti-self-dual and the monopole equations is that the latter are not conformal invariant. Under a conformal rescaling of a metric $g \mapsto \tilde{g} = \rho^2 g$ on a 4-manifold $X$, the associated objects change as follows

$$\begin{gathered}\tilde{g^*} = \rho^{-2} g^* \text{ on } 1-\text{forms}; \quad vol_{\tilde g} = \rho^2 vol_g \ ; \quad s_{\tilde g} = \rho^{-2} s_g + 2\rho^{-2}\Delta\rho \\ \Sigma^{\pm}_{\tilde g} = \Sigma^{\pm}_g (\text{as Hermitian bundles}) \ , \quad \tilde\gamma = \rho^{-1}\gamma \ ; \quad \tilde\Gamma = \rho^{-2}\Gamma \ ; \quad \not{D}_{\tilde g} = \rho^{-\frac{5}{2}} \not{D}_g \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} \ .\end{gathered}$$

The main point which makes the whole analysis developed for the instanton case work is the invariance of the monopole equation under constant rescalings. This means precisely that if $(A,\Psi)$, $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+(P^G))$ is a solution of the $G$-monopole equations for the metric $g$ and $\rho$ is a constant, then $(A,\rho^{-1}\Psi)$ is a solution of the monopole equations for $\tilde g = \rho^2 g$.

This simple remark will be applied in the following way:

Let $(\bar B, g_0)$ be the standard closed 4-ball with interior $B$, and let $h_r : \bar B \longrightarrow \bar B_r \subset \bar B$ be the homothety of slope $r < 1$. Let $(X, g)$ be a Riemannian 4-manifold, $x \in X$, and fix an isometric identification $T_x(X) = \mathbb{R}^4$. Consider the smooth family of parameterizations $f_r : \bar B \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bar D(r) \subset X$, $f_r = \exp \circ h_r$, where $\bar D(r)$ is the compact geodesic ball of radius $r$, $0 < r \ll 1$.

Let $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ be $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure on $X$ and choose a trivialisation of $\sigma$ in a neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ with Clifford map $\gamma_U$. Fix a connection $a \in \mathcal{A}(\det(P^u))$ which is defined on $U$ by the 1-form $\alpha \in A^1_U(u(1))$.

**Proposition 2.1.1**
*1. The metrics $g''_r := \frac{1}{r^2} f_r^*(g|_{D(r)})$ converge in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology to the standard flat metric $g_0$ on $\bar B$, as $r \to 0$.*
*2. $\gamma''_r := r f_r^*(\gamma_U)$ is a Clifford map for $(B, g''_r)$ converging to a Clifford map $\gamma''_0$ for $(B, g_0)$.*
*3. If $(A,\Psi)$ is a solution of the $PU(2)$-monopole equation for $(\sigma, a)$, then the pair $(f_r^*(A), r f_r^*(\Psi))$ is a solution of the monopole equations associated to the trivial $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\mathfrak{u}_{\gamma''_r}$ and the connection $\alpha''_r := f_r^*(\alpha)$.*

**Proof:** Indeed, the maps $\frac{1}{r} \circ (f_r)_* : T(\bar B) \longrightarrow T(X)$ converge in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology to the projection of $T(\bar B) = \bar B \times T_x(X)$ on the second factor. ■

**Remark 2.1.2**
*Let $g$ be a metric on $\bar B$ with $g|_{T_0} = g_0|_{T_0}$. The same assertions hold for the family of metrics $g'_r = r^{-2} h_r^*(g|_{B_r})$ and the family of Clifford maps $\gamma'_r := r h_r^*(\gamma|_{B_r})$.*

**Theorem 2.1.3** *(Gauge-fixing) There are constants* $\varepsilon_1,\ M > 0$ *such that the following holds:*

*Any connection* $A$ *on the trivial bundle* $E_0$ *over* $\bar{B}$ *with* $\parallel F_A \parallel_{L^2} < \varepsilon_1$ *is gauge equivalent to a connection* $\tilde{A}$ *over* $B$ *with*
*(i)* $d_0^* \tilde{A} = 0$*, where* $d_0^*$ *is the normal adjoint of* $d$ *with respect to the standard flat metric* $g_0$*.*
*(ii)* $\lim_{r \to 1} A_r = 0$ *on* $S^3$*,*
*(iii)* $\parallel \tilde{A} \parallel_{L_1^2} \leq M \parallel F_{\tilde{A}} \parallel_{L^2}$*.*
*The corresponding gauge transformation is unique up to a constant matrix.*

**Proof:** Theorem 2.3.7 in [DK]. ■

In the theorems below all the Sobolev norms on the ball are computed with respect to the standard flat metric $g_0$. Fix a Clifford map

$$\gamma_0 : \Lambda^1_{\bar{B}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(\bar{B} \times \mathbb{H}_+, \bar{B} \times \mathbb{H}_-)$$

compatible with $g_0$.

**Theorem 2.1.4** *(Local estimates) There is a positive constant* $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ *such that for any Clifford map* $\gamma'$ *on* $\bar{B}$ *which is sufficiently* $\mathcal{C}^3$*-close to* $\gamma_0$ *and every* $a' \in A^1(u(1))$ *sufficiently small in the* $\mathcal{C}^2$*-topology, the following holds:*

*For any solution* $(A, \Psi)$ *of the* $PU(2)$*-monopole equation for the pair* $(\mathfrak{u}_{\gamma'}, a')$ *over the open ball* $B$ *satisfying* $d_0^* A = 0$*,* $\parallel A \parallel_{L^4} \leq \varepsilon_2$*,* $\parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^4} \leq \varepsilon_2$*, and any interior domain* $D \Subset B$*, one has estimates of the form :*

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel A \parallel_{L_l^2(D)} \leq C_{D,l,\gamma',a'}(\parallel A \parallel_{L^4} + \parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^4})\ , \\ &\parallel \Psi \parallel_{L_l^2(D)} \leq C_{D,l,\gamma',a'}(\parallel A \parallel_{L^4} + \parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^4}) \end{aligned}$$

*with positive constants* $C_{D,l,\gamma',a'}$ *for all* $l \geq 1$*.*

**Proof:** First of all we identify the ball with the upper semi-sphere of $S := S^4$ and we endow the sphere with a metric $g_s$ which extends the flat metric $g_0$ on the ball and which has non-negative sectional curvature.

Then we fix a $Spin(4)$-structure on the sphere with spinor bundles $S_s^{\pm}$ given by a Clifford map $\gamma_s : \Lambda^1_S \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S_s^+, S_s^-)$, and we choose $SU(2)$-trivializations $S_0^{\pm} := \bar{B} \times \mathbb{H}_{\pm} \xrightarrow{\simeq} S_s^{\pm}|_{\bar{B}}$ such that the Clifford map induced by $\gamma_s$ in $(\bar{B}, S_0^{\pm})$ is $\gamma_0$. Let $E_s$ be the trivial $U(2)$-bundle on $S^4$.

Let $\gamma : \Lambda^1_S \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S^+_s, S^-_s)$, $a \in \Lambda^1_S(u(1))$ be extensions of $\gamma'$ and $a'$ to the sphere. We can choose $\gamma$ as close to $\gamma_s$ as we please if $\gamma'$ is sufficiently close to $\gamma_0$ and $a$ as small as we please if $a'$ is sufficiently small.

Now consider the following first order elliptic operators on the sphere

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \not{D}_{\gamma,a} & : & A^0(S^+_s \otimes E_s) & \longrightarrow & A^0(S^-_s \otimes E_s) \\ \delta_\gamma := d^*_s + d^+_\gamma & : & A^1(su(2)) & \longrightarrow & A^0(su(2)) \oplus A^2_{+,g_\gamma}(su(2)) \ , \end{array}$$

where the symbol $+$ on the second line means the self-dual component w.r. t. the metric $g_\gamma$, $d^*_s$ means the adjoint of $d : A^0(su(2)) \longrightarrow A^1(su(2))$ with respect to the fixed metric $g_s$, and $\not{D}_{\gamma,a} := \not{D}_\gamma + \gamma(\frac{a}{2})$. Both operators have index 0; they are injective in the special case $(\gamma, a) = (\gamma_s, 0)$, by the corresponding Weitzenböck formulas. Since the coefficients of both operators in local coordinates are algebraic expressions in the components of $\gamma$, the components of $a$, and in the first order derivatives of the components of $\gamma$, it follows by elliptic semicontinuity that the two operators remain injective if $\gamma$ is sufficiently $\mathcal{C}^1$-close to $\gamma_s$ and $a$ is sufficiently $\mathcal{C}^0$-small. Therefore they define maps

$$\begin{array}{l} (\gamma, a) \longmapsto \not{D}_{\gamma,a} \in \mathrm{Iso}\left(L^2_{k+1}(S^+_s \otimes E_s), L^2_k(S^-_s \otimes E_s)\right) , \\ (\gamma, a) \longmapsto \delta_\gamma \in \mathrm{Iso}\left(L^2_{k+1}(\Lambda^1(su(2))), L^2_k(\Lambda^0(su(2)) \oplus \Lambda^0(su(S^-_s) \otimes su(2))\right) \end{array}$$

which are continuous with respect the $\mathcal{C}^{k+1} \times \mathcal{C}^k$-topology on the space of pairs formed by a Clifford map and a $u(1)$-valued 1-form on the sphere.

Therefore we get elliptic estimates

$$\begin{array}{l} \| u \|_{L^2_{k+1}} \leq const(k, \gamma, a) \| \not{D}_{\gamma,a} u \|_{L^2_k} \\ \| v \|_{L^2_{k+1}} \leq const'(k, \gamma, a) \| \delta_\gamma v \|_{L^2_k} \ , \end{array} \qquad (el_k)$$

where $const(k, \gamma, a)$, $const'(k, \gamma, a)$ depend continuously on $(\gamma, a)$ w.r. t. the $\mathcal{C}^{k+1} \times \mathcal{C}^k$-topology. In a sufficiently small $\mathcal{C}^3 \times \mathcal{C}^2$-neighbourhood of $(\gamma_s, 0)$ one has the following estimates with $(\gamma, a)$-independent constants

$$\begin{array}{l} \| u \|_{L^2_{k+1}} \leq const \| \not{D}_{\gamma,a} u \|_{L^2_k} \\ \| v \|_{L^2_{k+1}} \leq const \| \delta_\gamma v \|_{L^2_k} \ , \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\} \ . \end{array} \qquad (el)$$

The first step is an input-estimate for the $L^2_1(D)$-norms:

Let $\varphi$ be a cut-off function supported in the open ball $B$ which is identically 1 in a neighbourhood of $\bar{D}$. Then $\psi := \varphi\Psi$ extends as section in the spinor bundle $S^+_s \otimes E_s$ and $\alpha := \varphi A$ extends as a $su(2)$-valued 1 form on the sphere. Since $\Psi$ is harmonic with respect to the Dirac operator

$$\not{D}_{\gamma',a',A} = \not{D}_{\gamma',a'} + \gamma'(A)\ ,$$

we get

$$\not{D}_{\gamma,a}(\varphi\Psi) = \gamma(d\varphi)\Psi + \varphi\not{D}_{\gamma,a}\Psi = \gamma(d\varphi)\Psi - \varphi\gamma(A)\Psi\ . \tag{1}$$

Then by $(el)$ we obtain an elliptic estimate of the form

$$\parallel \psi \parallel_{L^2_1} \leq c \parallel \not{D}_{\gamma,a}\psi_0 \parallel_{L^2} \leq c'(\parallel A \parallel_{L^4} \parallel \psi \parallel_{L^2_1} + \parallel d\varphi \parallel_{L^4} \parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^4})$$

where we have used on the right the existence of a bounded Sobolev inclusion $L^2_1 \subset L^4$. The constants $c$, $c'$ can be chosen to depend continuously on $(\gamma, a)$. Therefore, for a small enough bound for $\parallel A \parallel_{L^4}$, we get an estimate of the type

$$\parallel \psi \parallel_{L^2_1} \leq c_D \parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^4}\ ,$$

where the constant is independent of $(\gamma, a)$ but depends on $D$ via $\parallel d\varphi \parallel_{L^4}$.

Since, by hypothesis, the $su(2)$-valued 1-form $A$ on $\bar{B}$ is a solution in Coulomb gauge of the second monopole equation, it satisfies the following non-linear equation

$$\delta_\gamma A + (A \wedge A)^+_\gamma = \Gamma^{-1}_\gamma(\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0\ .$$

On the other hand $d^*_s(\alpha) = \varphi d^*_s A - A(\nabla\varphi) = -A(\nabla\varphi)$, where $\nabla$ means the gradient with respect to the fixed metric $g_s$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\gamma\alpha &= -A(\nabla\varphi) + \varphi d^+_\gamma A + (d\varphi \wedge A)^+_\gamma \\ &= -A(\nabla\varphi) + (d\varphi \wedge A)^+_\gamma + \varphi\left(\Gamma^{-1}_\gamma(\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0 - (A \wedge A)^+_\gamma\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and one has by $(el)$ an estimate of the form

$$\parallel \alpha \parallel_{L^2_1} \leq c'' \left(\parallel A \parallel_{L^4} \parallel d\varphi \parallel_{L^4} + \parallel \Psi \parallel^2_{L^4} + \parallel A \parallel_{L^4} \parallel \alpha \parallel_{L^2_1}\right)\ ,$$

which gives, for a sufficiently small bound for $\parallel A \parallel_{L^4}$, an estimate of the type

$$\parallel \alpha \parallel_{L^2_1} \leq c'_D \left(\parallel A \parallel_{L^4} + \parallel \Psi \parallel^2_{L^4}\right)\ .$$

Note that so far we did not need any bound on $\| \Psi \|_{L^4}$.

In a next step we estimate the $L^2_2$-norms: Put $\alpha_1 = \lambda A$, $\psi_1 := \lambda\Psi$, where $\lambda$ is identically 1 on $D$, but the support $\mathrm{supp}\lambda$ is contained in the interior of $\varphi^{-1}(1)$. Then we can also write $\alpha_1 = \lambda\alpha$, $\psi_1 = \lambda\psi$.

We estimate first the $L^2_1$-norm of the right hand side in the equation obtained by replacing $\varphi$ with $\lambda$ in (2) . We find

$$\| \delta_\gamma\alpha_1 \|_{L^2_1} \le \mathit{const} \left(\| \lambda\alpha\otimes\alpha \|_{L^2_1} + \| \lambda\psi\bar{\psi} \|_{L^2_1}\right) + \mathit{const}_D \| \alpha \|_{L^2_1} \ , \tag{3}$$

and again we can assume that the constants do not depend on $\gamma$. Using the identity $\nabla(\lambda\alpha\otimes\alpha) = \nabla(\lambda\alpha)\otimes\alpha + \alpha\otimes\nabla(\lambda\alpha) - \alpha\otimes\nabla\lambda\otimes\alpha$ , where $\nabla$ denotes the covariant derivative w.r.t. $g_s$, the same computation as in the proof in Prop. 2.3.8 p. 63 [DK] gives

$$\begin{aligned} \| \lambda\alpha\otimes\alpha \|_{L^2_1} &\le \| \nabla(\lambda\alpha\otimes\alpha) \|_{L^2} + \| \lambda\alpha\otimes\alpha \|_{L^2} \le \mathit{const} \| \nabla(\lambda\alpha) \|_{L^4} \| \alpha \|_{L^4} \\ &+ \mathit{const}_D \| \alpha \|^2_{L^4} \le \mathit{const}' \| \alpha_1 \|_{L^4_1} \| \alpha \|_{L^4} + \mathit{const}_D \| \alpha \|^2_{L^4} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By a similar computation one has

$$\| \lambda\psi\bar{\psi} \|_{L^2_1} \le \mathit{const} \| \lambda\psi \|_{L^4_1} \| \psi \|_{L^4} + \mathit{const}_D \| \psi \|^2_{L^4} \ . \tag{5}$$

Now we replace $\varphi$ by $\lambda$ in (1) and estimate the $L^2_1$-norm of the right hand side of the obtained equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \| \gamma(d\lambda)\psi - \lambda\gamma(\alpha)\psi \|_{L^2_1} \le \ & \mathit{const}(\| \lambda\psi \|_{L^4_1} \| \alpha \|_{L^4} + \| \lambda\alpha \|_{L^4_1} \| \psi \|_{L^4}) + \\ & + \mathit{const}_D(\| \psi \|_{L^2_1} + \| \alpha \|_{L^4} \| \psi \|_{L^4}) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

By $(el)$ and (1) one has an estimate of the form

$$\| \psi_1 \|_{L^2_2} \le \mathit{const} \| \not{D}_{\gamma,a}\psi_1 \|_{L^2_1} = \mathit{const} \| \gamma(d\lambda)\psi - \lambda\gamma(\alpha)\psi \|_{L^2_1} \ .$$

By the same method as above, and using the bounded inclusion $L^2_2 \subset L^4_1$, one gets for a sufficiently small bound of $\| A \|_{L^4}$

$$\| \psi_1 \|_{L^2_2} \le \mathit{const} \| \alpha_1 \|_{L^2_2} \| \Psi \|_{L^4} + \mathit{const}_D[\| \psi \|_{L^2_1} + \| A \|_{L^4} \| \Psi \|_{L^4}] \ . \tag{7}$$

The inequalities (5) and (7) yield the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \| \lambda\psi\bar{\psi} \|_{L^2_1} \le \ & \mathit{const} \| \alpha_1 \|_{L^2_2} \| \Psi \|^2_{L^4} + \\ & + \mathit{const}_D[\| \psi \|_{L^2_1} \| \Psi \|_{L^4} + \| A \|_{L^4} \| \Psi \|^2_{L^4} + \| \Psi \|^2_{L^4}] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In the inequality (3) use (4) and (8) in order to estimate the first bracket. The elliptic estimates $(el)$ for $k=1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\| \alpha_1 \|_{L^2_2} \leq \ & const \| \alpha_1 \|_{L^2_2} (\| A \|_{L^4} + \| \Psi \|^2_{L^4}) + const_D \Big[ \| \psi \|_{L^2_1} \| \Psi \|_{L^4} + \\ & + \| \Psi \|^2_{L^4} + \| A \|_{L^4} \| \Psi \|^2_{L^4} + \| \alpha \|_{L^2_1} + \| A \|^2_{L^4} \Big] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For sufficiently small bounds for $\| A \|_{L^4}$ and $\| \Psi \|^2_{L^4}$, which are independent of $D$, one has (using again the boundedness of $L^2_1 \subset L^4$ and the obtained inequalities for the $L^2_1$-norms) an estimate of the type

$$\| \alpha_1 \|_{L^2_2} \leq p_D (\| A \|_{L^4}, \| \Psi \|_{L^4}) \ , \tag{10}$$

for a polynomial $p_D$ without constant term. Then (7) gives the estimate

$$\| \psi_1 \|_{L^2_2} \leq q_D (\| A \|_{L^4}, \| \Psi \|_{L^4}) \tag{10'}$$

for another polynomial $q_D$ with $q_D(0,0) = 0$. Choosing $\varepsilon_2 \leq 1$ one can bound of course any such polynomial by a linear form in $\| A \|_{L^4}$, $\| \Psi \|_{L^4}$.

The estimates for the third step can be proved by the same algorithm, using the existence of a bounded inclusion $L^2_3 \subset L^4_2$.

Since $L^2_3$ is already a Banach algebra, the estimates for the higher Sobolev norms follow by the usual bootstrapping procedure using the inequalities $(el_k)$. Note in particular that we do not have to take smaller bounds for $\| A \|_{L^4}$ to get estimates of the higher Sobolev norms, so that a positive number $\varepsilon_2$ (independent of $l$ and $D$ !) with the required property does exist.

■

**Corollary 2.1.5** *(Estimates in terms of the curvature) There exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$, and a neighbourhood $N \subset \mathcal{C}l_B \times A^1_B(u(1))$ of $(\gamma_0, 0)$ with respect to the $\mathcal{C}^3 \times \mathcal{C}^2$-topology with the following property:*

*For any interior ball $D \Subset B$ and any $l \geq 1$ there exists a positive constant $C_{D,l,\gamma,a}$ such that every solution $(A, \Psi)$ of the $PU(2)$-monopole equations for the pair $(\mathfrak{u}_\gamma, a)$ with $(\gamma, a) \in N$ and $\| F_A \|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$, is gauge equivalent on $B$ to a pair $(\tilde A, \tilde \Psi)$ satisfying the estimates*

$$\| \tilde A \|_{L^2_l(D)} \leq C_{D,l,\gamma,a} \| F_A \|_{L^2} \ , \quad \| \tilde \Psi \|_{L^2_l(D)} \leq C_{D,l,\gamma,a} \| F_A \|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2} \ .$$

**Proof:** We choose the neighbourhood $N$ such that the conclusion of the above theorem holds for $(\gamma, a) \in N$ and such that, by the "Gauge fixing"-theorem there is uniform bound $\| A \|_{L^2_1} \leq M \| F_A \|_{L^2}$. On the other hand, there exists a positive constant $M_1$ such that $\| \Gamma_\gamma(F_A^+) \|^2_{L^2} \leq M_1 \| F_A \|^2$ uniformly on $N$. With this choice, the $L^2$-norm of the $g_\gamma$-self-dual component of the curvature controls uniformly w.r. t. $(\gamma, a) \in N$ (the square of) the $L^4$-norm of the spinor component of any solution of the $PU(2)$-monopole equation for $(\mathfrak{u}_\gamma, 0)$. Choose now

$$\varepsilon := \min \left( \varepsilon_1, \frac{\varepsilon_2}{CM}, \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2}{M_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) ,$$

where $C$ is a bound for the Sobolev inclusion $L^2_1 \subset L^4$. Then apply the "Local estimates" theorem to get a solution $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Psi})$ on the open ball satisfying the assumption in the "Local estimates"-theorem, and take into account that the $L^4$-norms of $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ are estimated in terms of the $L^2$-norm of the curvature.
■

**Corollary 2.1.6** *(Local compactness) There exists a neighbourhood $N$ of $(\gamma_0, 0)$ in $\mathcal{C}l_B \times A^1_B(u(1))$ with respect to the $\mathcal{C}^3 \times \mathcal{C}^2$-topology, and a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following holds:*

*For any pair $(\gamma, a) \in N$, and any sequence $(A_n, \Psi_n)$ of solutions of the $PU(2)$-monopole equations for the pair $(\mathfrak{u}_\gamma, a)$ on $\bar{B}$ with $\| F_{A_n} \|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$, there is a subsequence $m_n$ of $\mathbb{N}$ and gauge equivalent solutions $(\tilde{A}_{m_n}, \tilde{\Psi}_{m_n})$ converging in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology on the open ball $B$.*

■

We can prove now the following result, which is analogous to Proposition 4.4.9 p. 161 [DK].

**Corollary 2.1.7** *(Global compactness) Let $(\Omega, g)$ be an oriented Riemannian manifold with a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ and fix a connection $a \in \mathcal{A}(\det(P^u))$. Let $(A_n, \Psi_n)$ be a sequence of solutions of the $PU(2)$-monopole equations associated to $(\sigma, a)$. Suppose that any point $x \in \Omega$ has a geodesic ball neighbourhood $D_x$ such that for all large enough $n$,*

$$\int_{D_x} |F_{A_n}|^2 < \varepsilon^2$$

*where $\varepsilon$ is the constant in Corollary 2.1.5 . Then there is a subsequence $(m_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and gauge transformations $u_{m_n} \in \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $(A_{m_n}, \Psi_{m_n})^{u_{m_n}}$ converges in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology on $\Omega$.*

**Proof:** For any $x \in \Omega$, denote by $r_x$ the radius of $D_x$, and consider the exponential maps $\exp_x : B_0(r_x) \longrightarrow D_x$. Fix (as in Proposition 2.1.1) a trivialisation of the $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma|_{D_x}$ with Clifford map $\gamma_x$, and for any $r < r_x$ consider the parameterization $f_{x,r} : B \xrightarrow{\simeq} D_x(r) \subset \Omega$ defined by $f_{x,r} := \exp_x \circ h_r$.

By Proposition 2.1.1 it follows that the Clifford maps $\gamma_{x,r} := \frac{1}{r} f^*_{x,r}(\gamma_x)$ converge to the standard Clifford map $\gamma_0$ on the unit ball as $r \to 0$, so that the corresponding metrics $g_{x,r} := \frac{1}{r^2} f^*_{x,r}(g|_{D_x(r)})$ converge to the standard flat metric $g_0$.

Moreover, the pair $(f^*_{x,r}(A_n|_{D_x(r)}), r f^*_{x,r}\Psi_n)$ is a solution of the $PU(2)$-monopole equation on the unit ball for the pair $(\mathfrak{u}_{\gamma_{x,r}}, f^*_{x,r}(a))$. For every $x \in \Omega$, let $\rho_x < r_x$ be sufficiently small such that $(\gamma_{x,\rho_x}, f^*_{x,r}(a))$ belongs to the neighbourhood $N$ given by the Corollary 2.1.6

By the conformal invariance of the $L^2$-norm on 2-forms, the curvature of $(f^*_{x,\rho_x}(A_n|_{D_x(\rho_x)})$ still satisfies the inequality

$$\int\limits_{\bar{B}} |F_{f^*_{x,\rho_x}(A_n)}|^2 < \varepsilon^2$$

if we use the metric $g_{x,\rho_x}$ to compute the norm. Since it holds $\lim\limits_{r\to 0} g_{x,r} = g_0$, we can choose $\rho_x$ small enough such that the inequality still holds if the norm is computed with the metric $g_0$. Therefore Corollary 2.1.6 applies to the sequence $(f^*_{x,\rho_x}(A_n|_{D_x(\rho_x)}), \rho_x f^*_{x,\rho_x}\Psi_n)$ and the claim follows from standard "patching" arguments (see Corollary 4.4.8 p. 160 [DK]). ∎

**Proposition 2.1.8** *(Global $\mathcal{C}^0$-boundedness of the spinor) Let $(X, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold, $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure in $(X, g)$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(\det(P^u))$ an abelian connection.*

*For any solution $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{\delta}(P^u)) \times A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u))$ of the $PU(2)$-monopole equations associated to $(\sigma, a)$ the following apriori estimate holds:*

$$\sup_X |\Psi|^2 \leq \max\left(0, C \sup_X\left(-\frac{s}{2} + |F_a^+|\right)\right)$$

*Here $s$ stands for the scalar curvature of $g$ and $C$ is a universal positive constant.*

**Proof:** The Weitzenböck formula for coupled Dirac operators gives for any $(A,a,\Psi)\in\mathcal{A}(\bar\delta(P^u))\times\mathcal{A}(\det(P^u))\times A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u))$.

$$\not{D}^2_{A,a}\Psi=\nabla^*_{A,a}\nabla_{A,a}\Psi+\Gamma[(F_A+\frac{1}{2}F_a)^+]\Psi+\frac{s}{4}\ \Psi\cdot$$

If $(A,\Psi)$ solves the $PU(2)$-monopole equations for $(\sigma,a)$ we get pointwise

$$(\nabla^*_{A,a}\nabla_{A,a}\Psi,\Psi)+(\mu_{0,0}(\Psi)(\Psi),\Psi)+\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma(F_a^+)(\Psi),\Psi)+\frac{s}{4}|\Psi|^2=0\cdot$$

By definition of the quadratic map $\mu_{0,0}$ there exists a positive universal constant $C'$ with

$$(\mu_{0,0}(\Psi)(\Psi),\Psi)=|\mu_{0,0}(\Psi)|^2\geq C'|\Psi|^4\ .$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Psi|^2=(\Delta_{A,a}\Psi,\Psi)-|\nabla_{A,a}\Psi|^2\leq -C'|\Psi|^4+\frac{1}{2}(|F_a^+|-\frac{s}{2})|\Psi|^2\ ,$$

and the assertion follows easily by the maximum principle.

■

**Corollary 2.1.9** *If $\Omega$ is compact, the condition "$\int\limits_{D_x}|F_{A_n}|^2<\varepsilon^2$ for all sufficiently large $n$" in Corollary 2.1.7 can be replaced by the condition*

$$"\int_{D_x}|F^-_{A_n}|^2<\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\ for\ all\ sufficiently\ large\ n\ ".$$

**Proof:** By the proposition above the pointwise norm $|F^+_{A_n}|$ of the self-dual component of the curvature is apriori bounded by a constant (depending on $s$ and $a$), hence $\int\limits_{D_x}|F^+_{A_n}|^2$ can be made arbitrarily small, by replacing eventually $D_x$ with a smaller ball. ■

Fix as above a $Spin(4)$-structure $\gamma_s:\Lambda^1_{S^4}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S^+_s,S^-_s)$ on the 4-sphere $S$, such that the corresponding metric $g_s:=g_{\gamma_s}$ has nonnegative sectional curvature.

For a connection matrix $C \in A^1(su(2))$, put as in [DK] sect. 2.3.4

$$Q_l(C) := \parallel F_C \parallel_{L^\infty} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \parallel \nabla_C^{(i)} F_C \parallel_{L^2} \quad .$$

**Proposition 2.1.10** *(Gauge invariant estimates on the sphere) There exists a positive constant $\eta > 0$ such that for any $l \geq 1$, any connection matrix $C \in A^1_S(su(2))$ satisfying $d^*_{g_s} C = 0$, $\parallel C \parallel_{L^4} < \eta$, and any positive spinor $\Psi \in A^0(S_s^+ \otimes E_s)$, the following estimates hold:*
*(i) $\parallel C \parallel_{L^2_{l+1}} \leq f_l(Q_l(C))$,*
*(ii) $\parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^2_j} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{j} p_i^l(\parallel C \parallel_{L^2_l}) \parallel \nabla_C^{(i)} \Psi \parallel_{L^2}$*
*for any $j \leq l+1$, $l \geq 3$. Here $f_l$, $p_i^l$ are for polynomial functions with $f_l(0) = 0$, $p_i^l(0) = 0$. In particular one has "gauge invariant estimates" for the Sobolev norms $\parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^2_l}$ in terms of the covariant derivatives $\nabla_C^{(i)} F_C$, $\nabla_C^{(i)} \Psi$.*

**Proof:** If $g_s$ is the standard metric on the sphere with positive constant curvature, assertion $(i)$ is Lemma 2.3.11 [DK]. But all that is needed in the proof of this Lemma is the injectivity of the elliptic first order operator $\delta = d^*_{g_s} + d^+_{g_s} : A^1(su(2)) \longrightarrow A^0(su(2)) \oplus A^2_+(su(2))$. This holds for any metric $g_s$ with nonnegative sectional curvature, by the corresponding Weitzenböck formula ([FU], p. 111).

The second statement follows since, in the stable range $l \geq 3$, $L^2_i$ becomes an $L^2_l$-Banach module for all $i \leq k$, so that

$$\parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^2_i} \leq \parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^2_{i-1}} + \parallel \nabla \Psi \parallel_{L^2_{i-1}} \leq \parallel \nabla_C \Psi \parallel_{L^2_{i-1}} + (\parallel C \parallel_{L^2_l} + 1) \parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^2_{i-1}} \quad .$$

From this assertion $(ii)$ follows by induction. ■

We can use the cutting-off method as in Theorem 2.1.4 to prove the following

**Corollary 2.1.11** *(Gauge invariant estimates on the ball) Let $g$ be a metric on $\bar{B}$ sufficiently close to $g_0$. Then there is a constant $\eta' > 0$ such that for any $l \geq 1$, $D \Subset B$, any connection matrix $C \in A^1(su(2))$ with $d^*_g C = 0$ , $\parallel C \parallel_{L^4} < \eta'$, and any positive spinor $\Psi \in A^0(S_0^+ \otimes E_0)$ the following estimates*

*hold:*
*(i)* $\| C \|_{L^2_{l+1}(D)} \leq f_l(Q_l(C))$ ,
*(ii)* $\| \Psi \|_{L^2_j(D)} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{j} p^l_i(\| C \|_{L^2_l}) \| \nabla^{(i)}_C \Psi \|_{L^2}$
*for all* $j \leq l+1$, $l \geq 3$. *Here* $f_l = f_l(D)$, $p^l_i = p^l_i(D)$ *are polynomial functions with* $f_l(0) = 0$, $p^l_i(0) = 0$. *In particular one has "gauge invariant estimates" for the norms* $\| \Psi \|_{L^2_l(D)}$ *in terms of the covariant derivatives* $\nabla^{(i)}_C F_C$, $\nabla^{(i)}_C \Psi$.

### 2.1.1 Regularity

The pairs consisting of a metric on the sphere and a $Spin(4)$-structure for that metric are parameterized by linear isomorphic Clifford maps

$$\gamma : \Lambda^1_S \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S^+_s, S^-_s) \ .$$

**Proposition 2.1.12** *(Regularity of* $L^4$*-small* $L^2_1$*-almost solutions) Let* $\gamma$ *be a Clifford map on the sphere which is sufficiently* $\mathcal{C}^1$*-close to* $\gamma_s$, $a \in A^1(u(1))$ *sufficiently* $\mathcal{C}^0$*-small, and endow the sphere with the metric* $g := g_\gamma$ *associated with* $\gamma$.

*There are positive constants* $\alpha$, $\psi$, $\mu$, $\nu$ *such that any pair* $(A, \Psi) \in L^2_1(\Lambda^1(su(2))) \times L^2_1(S^+_S \otimes E_s)$ *satisfying:*
*(i)* $d^*_g(A) = 0$,
*(ii)* $\| A \|_{L^4} \leq \alpha$, $\| \Psi \|_{L^4} \leq \psi$,
*(iii)* $\| \Gamma_\gamma(F^+_A) - \mu_{0,0}(\Psi) \|_{L^2} \leq \mu$, $\| \not{D}_{\gamma,A,a}\Psi \|_{L^2} \leq \nu$,
*(iv)* $\Gamma_\gamma(F^+_A) - \mu_{0,0}(\Psi)$ *and* $\not{D}_{\gamma,A,a}\Psi$ *are smooth,*
*is also smooth. Moreover, there are positive constants* $c_1$, $c_2$ *such that the following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \Psi \|_{L^2_1} \leq c_1 \| \not{D}_{\gamma,A,a}\Psi \|_{L^2} \\ &\| A \|_{L^2_1} \leq c_2 \left( \| \Gamma_\gamma(F^+_A) - \mu_{0,0}(\Psi) \|_{L^2} + \| \not{D}_{\gamma,A,a}\Psi \|^2_{L^2} \right) \ . \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** We use the method of continuity as in the proof of 4.4.13 [DK].

First of all note that, by the Weitzenböck formula, the elliptic operator $\not{D}_{\gamma_s,0}$ is injective, hence $\not{D}_{\gamma,a}$ remains injective when $(\gamma, a)$ is close enough to $(\gamma_s, 0)$ in the $\mathcal{C}^1 \times \mathcal{C}^0$-topology. Similarly the operator $d^*_g + d^+_g$ is injective for $\gamma$ close enough to $\gamma_s$.

Put $M := \Gamma_\gamma(F_A^+) - \mu_{0,0}(\Psi)$, $N := \not{D}_{\gamma,A,a}\Psi$, and let $L$ be the pair $L := (M,N)$. Consider the system $(SW_L)$ of equations for a pair $(B,\Phi) \in A^1(su(2)) \times A^0(S_s^+ \otimes E_s)$ given by

$$d_g^*(B) = 0\ ,\ \Gamma_\gamma(F_B^+) - \mu_{0,0}(\Phi) = M, \quad \not{D}_{\gamma,B,a}\Phi = N\ . \tag{$SW_L$}$$

This system can be written as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} (d_g^* + \Gamma_\gamma \circ d_g^+)(B) & = & M - \Gamma_\gamma((B\wedge B)_g^+) + \mu_{0,0}(\Phi) \\ \not{D}_{\gamma,a}(\Phi) & = & N - \gamma(B)\Phi\ . \end{array} \right. \tag{$SW_L'$}$$

First we prove that there are constants $\alpha_0 > 0$, $\psi_0 > 0$ such that the Sobolev norms of any solution $(B,\Phi)$ of $(SW_L)$ with $\| B \|_{L^4} \leq \alpha_0$, $\| \Phi_0 \| \leq \psi_0$ can be apriori estimated in terms of Sobolev norms of $L$. We start with an input-estimate for the $L^2_1$-norms.

The second equation in $(SW_L')$ gives (by the injectivity and ellipticity of the operator $\not{D}_{\gamma,a}$) an estimate of the form

$$\| \Phi \|_{L^2_1} \leq const(\| N \|_{L^2} + \| B \|_{L^4} \| \Phi \|_{L^4}) \leq const(\| N \|_{L^2} + \| B \|_{L^4} \| \Phi \|_{L^2_1})$$

using the boundedness of the Sobolev inclusion $L^2_1 \subset L^4$. For a sufficiently small bound $\alpha_0$ for $\| B \|_{L^4}$ we get an estimate

$$\| \Phi \|_{L^2_1} \leq const \| N \|_{L^2}\ \ .$$

Using now the first equation, the same method yields, for a small enough $\alpha_0$, an estimate of the form

$$\| B \|_{L^2_1} \leq const(\| M \|_{L^2} + \| N \|^2_{L^2})\ .$$

We can estimate in a similar way the higher Sobolev norms by applying the same procedure as in the proof of the " Local estimates"-theorem (Theorem 2.1.4). In this way we obtain, for sufficiently small bounds $\alpha_0$ of $\| B \|_{L^4}$ and $\psi_0$ of $\| \Phi \|_{L^4}$, bounds for all Sobolev norms of $B$ and $\Phi$ in terms of the Sobolev norms of $M$ and $N$.

In a second step we use the continuity method to solve the family of equations $(SW_{tL})$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

A solution $(B,\Phi)$ of $(SW_{tL}')$ satisfies

$$\begin{array}{lclcl} \| \Phi \|_{L^4} & \leq & const \| \Phi \|_{L^2_1} & \leq & const(\| N \|_{L^2} + \| B \|_{L^4} \| \Phi \|_{L^4}) \\ \| B \|_{L^4} & \leq & const \| B \|_{L^2_1} & \leq & const(\| M \|_{L^2} + \| B \|^2_{L^4} + \| \Phi \|^2_{L^4})\ . \end{array}$$

Therefore, by the same argument as above, there are constants $\kappa > 0$, $C_1$, $C_2$ such that for for any $t \in [0,1]$ and any solution $(B, \Phi)$ of $(SW_{tL})$ with $\| B \|_{L^4} < \kappa$ one has

$$\| \Phi \|_{L^4} \leq C_1 \| N \|_{L^2} \ , \quad \| B \|_{L^4} \leq C_2 (\| M \|_{L^2} + \| N \|^2_{L^2}) \ .$$

Now, if $0 < \alpha \leq \kappa$, $\psi > 0$, $\| N \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\psi}{2C_1}$, and $\| M \|_{L^2} + \| N \|^2_{L^2} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2C_2}$, then we get the implications

$$\| \Phi \|_{L^4} < \psi \Rightarrow \| \Phi \|_{L^4} \leq \frac{\psi}{2} \ , \quad \| B \|_{L^4} < \alpha \Rightarrow \| B \|_{L^4} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \qquad (I)$$

Put $\alpha_1 := \min(\alpha_0, \kappa)$. Choose also the $L^2$ bounds $\mu_1$ and $\nu_1$ sufficiently small such that $\mu_1 + \nu_1^2 < \frac{\alpha_1}{2C_2}$ and $\nu_1 < \frac{\psi_0}{2C_1}$. With these choices, the implications

$$\| \Phi \|_{L^4} < \psi_0 \Rightarrow \| \Phi \|_{L^4} < \frac{\psi_0}{2} \ , \quad \| B \|_{L^4} < \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \| B \|_{L^4} \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$$

hold for pairs solving one of the systems $(SW_{tL})$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, as soon as the $L^4$-norms of $M$ and $N$ are bounded by $\mu_1$ and $\nu_1$.

On the other hand, since $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_0$, this shows that for any sequence $t_i \to t_0 \in [0,1]$ and any sequence $(B_i, \Phi_i)_i$ of smooth pairs such that $(B_i, \Phi_i)$ solves $(SW_{t_i L})$ with $\| B_i \|_{L^4} < \alpha_1$, $\| \Phi_i \|_{L^4} < \psi_0$, we have *uniform apriori bounds* for all Sobolev norms of the terms. Therefore there exists a subsequence $(B_{i_n}, \Phi_{i_n})_{i_n}$ which converges in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology to a solution $(B_0, \Phi_0)$ of $(SW_{t_0 L})$ which still satisfies $\| B_0 \|_{L^4} < \alpha_1$, $\| \Phi_0 \|_{L^4} < \psi_0$, since the stronger closed conditions $\| B_0 \|_{L^4} \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$, $\| \Phi_0 \|_{L^4} \leq \frac{\psi_0}{2}$ must be satisfied at the limit.

Therefore the set of those $t$ for which there exists a smooth solution $(B, \Phi)$ of $(SW_{tL})$ satisfying $\| B \|_{L^4} < \alpha_1$, $\| \Phi \|_{L^4} < \psi_0$ is closed in $[0,1]$.

We prove now (compare with [DK] - proof of 4.4.13) that for an appropriate choice of the bounds $\alpha$, $\psi$, the set

$$\{t \in [0,1] | \ (SW_{tL}) \text{ has a smooth solution } (B, \Phi) \text{ with } \| B \|_{L^4} < \alpha, \ \| \Phi \|_{L^4} < \psi\}$$

is also open in $[0,1]$.

Suppose that $(B_0, \Phi_0)$ is a smooth solution of $(SW_{t_0 L})$, $0 \leq t_0 \leq 1$.

Let $F$ be the map from $L^2_3(\Lambda^1(su(2))) \oplus L^2_3(S^+_s \otimes E_s) \times [0,1]$ to the space $(L^2_2(\Lambda^0(su(2))) \oplus L^2_2(\Lambda_{+g}(su(2)))) \oplus L^2_2(S^-_s \otimes E_s)$ given by

$$(B, \Phi, t) \overset{F}{\longmapsto} \Big( d^*_g B + \Gamma_\gamma((F_B)^+_g) - \mu_{0,0}(\Phi) - tM, \not{D}_{\gamma, B, a}\Phi - tN \Big) \ .$$

Define the sesquilinear map

$$m_{0,0} : [S_s^+ \otimes E_s] \times [S_s^+ \otimes E_s] \to \mathrm{End}_0(S_s^+) \otimes \mathrm{End}_0(E_s)$$

by

$$m_{0,0}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) := \mathrm{Pr}_{[\mathrm{End}_0(S_s^+)\otimes\mathrm{End}_0(E_s)]}[\Psi_1 \otimes \bar{\Psi}_2] \ .$$

The derivative $\delta_0$ of $F$ in $(B_0, \Phi_0, t_0)$ with respect to the first two coordinates is

$$(\beta, \varphi) \xmapsto{\delta_0} \begin{bmatrix} d_g^*\beta + \Gamma_\gamma \left[d\beta + (\beta \wedge B_0) + (B_0 \wedge \beta)\right]_g^+ & -m_{0,0}(\varphi, \Phi_0) - m_{0,0}(\Phi_0, \varphi) \\ \gamma(\beta)\Phi_0 & \not{D}_{\gamma,a}\varphi + \gamma(B_0)\varphi \end{bmatrix} .$$

The same formula defines an operator $L_1^2 \longrightarrow L^2$ which is invertible if the $L^4$ norms of $B_0$ and $\Phi_0$ are sufficiently small (say smaller than $\alpha_2 > 0$ and $\psi_2 > 0$) because the limit of $\delta_0$ in the space of these operators for $\parallel B_0 \parallel_{L^4} \to 0$, $\parallel \Phi_0 \parallel_{L^4} \to 0$ is the isomorphism

$$(\beta, \varphi) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} d_g^*\beta + \Gamma_\gamma(d_g^+\beta) & 0 \\ 0 & \not{D}_{\gamma,a}\varphi \end{pmatrix} .$$

But this implies that

$$\delta_0 : L_3^2(\Lambda^1 su(2)) \oplus L_3^2(S_s^+ \otimes E_s) \to \Big(L_2^2(\Lambda^0 su(2)) \oplus L_2^2(\Lambda_{+,g} su(2))\Big) \oplus L_2^2(S_s^- \otimes E_s)$$

is also injective, hence isomorphism, since it has vanishing index. By the implicit function theorem, the equations $(SW_{tL})$ therefore have a solution in $L_3^2$ for all $t$ in a neighbourhood of $t_0$.

We take now $\alpha_2 := \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \kappa)$, and $\mu_2$, $\nu_2$ such that $\mu_2 + \nu_2^2 < \frac{\alpha_2}{2C_2}$ and $\nu_2 < \frac{\min(\psi_0, \psi_2)}{2C_1}$. With this choices the set

$$\{t \in [0,1] |\ SW_{tL} \text{ has a smooth solution } (B, \Phi) \text{ with } \parallel B \parallel_{L^4} < \alpha_2,\ \parallel \Phi \parallel_{L^4} < \psi_2\}$$

is closed (by the implications (I)) and open in $[0, 1]$, if $M$ and $N$ are $L^2$-bounded by $\mu_2$ and $\nu_2$ respectively.

Suppose now that we have chosen constants $\alpha$, $\psi$, $\mu$, $\nu$ in the theorem to be smaller than $\alpha_2$, $\psi_2$, $\mu_2$, $\nu_2$ respectively. Then we have a smooth solution $(B, \Phi)$ and an $L_1^2$-solution $(A, \Psi)$ of the same equations $(SW_L)$ both satisfying $\parallel B \parallel_{L^4}$, $\parallel A \parallel_{L^4} < \alpha_2$, $\parallel \Phi \parallel_{L^4}$, $\parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^4} < \psi_2$.

Then

$$\begin{cases} (d_g^* + \Gamma_\gamma \circ d_g^+)(A - B) & = \Gamma_\gamma \left( ((B-A) \wedge A)_g^+ + (B \wedge (B-A))_g^+ \right) + \\ & \quad + m_{0,0}((\Phi - \Psi), \Psi) + m_{0,0}(\Phi, (\Phi - \Psi)) \\ \not{D}_{\gamma,a}(\Phi - \Psi) & = \gamma(A-B)\Psi + \gamma(B)(\Psi - \Phi) \ . \end{cases}$$

Using the second equation, we see that for a small enough bound $\alpha_3$ for $\| B \|_{L^4}$, an estimate of the form

$$\| \Phi - \Psi \|_{L^4} \leq const \, \| \Phi - \Psi \|_{L^2_1} \leq const \, \| A - B \|_{L^4} \| \Psi \|_{L^4}$$

holds. But then the first equation gives for a sufficiently small bound $\alpha_4 \leq \alpha_3$ for $\| A \|_{L^4}$ and $\| B \|_{L^4}$ , an estimate of the form

$$\| A - B \|_{L^4} \leq const \, \| A - B \|_{L^2_1} \leq const (\| \Psi \|^2_{L^4} + \| \Psi \|_{L^4} \| \Phi \|_{L^4}) \, \| A - B \|_{L^4} \ ,$$

which implies $A = B$ and $\Phi = \Psi$ if $\| \Phi \|_{L^4}$, $\| \Psi \|_{L^4}$ are bounded by a sufficiently small constant $\psi_4$.

Defining $\alpha := \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \kappa)$, $\psi := \min(\psi_0, \psi_2, \psi_4)$ and choosing $\mu$, $\nu$ such that

$$\mu + \nu^2 < \frac{\alpha}{2C_2} \ , \quad \nu < \frac{\psi}{2C_1} \ ,$$

the assertions of Proposition 2.1.12 hold. ■

## 2.2 Removable singularities

The same patching arguments as in [DK] give a generalization of Corollary 2.1.5 (Estimates in terms of the curvature) to strongly simply connected Riemannian manifolds. In particular one has:

**Lemma 2.2.1** *Let $(\Omega, g)$ be a strongly simply connected Riemannian 4-manifold endowed with a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ with trivialized $PU(2)$-bundle $\bar{\delta}(P^u))$, and let $a \in \mathcal{A}(\det(P^u))$ be a fixed connection.*

*There exists a positive constant $\varepsilon_\Omega$, and for every precompact interior domain $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ there exists a positive constant $M_{\Omega,\Omega'}$ such that any solution $(A, \Psi)$ of the $PU(2)$-monopole equations $SW^\sigma_a$ with $\| F_A \|_{L^2} < \varepsilon_\Omega$ is gauge equivalent to a solution $(A', \Psi')$ satisfying*

$$\| A' \|_{L^4(\Omega')} < M_{\Omega,\Omega'} \| F_A \|_{L^2} \ .$$

■

The next lemma is formulated in [DK] for the standard metric (Proposition 2.3.13). In Donaldson's approach this result is an intermediate step in proving the gauge fixing theorem (Theorem 1.1). The same proof can be given in the case of an arbitrary metric with nonnegative sectional curvature. Indeed, it suffices to note that Lemmas 2.3.10, 2.3.11 [DK] need only the injectivity of the operator $d^*_{g_s} + d^+_{g_s}$ (see Proposition 2.1.10).

**Lemma 2.2.2** *Let $g_s$ be a metric on the sphere $S^4$ which non-negative sectional curvature. Then there are constants $\varepsilon_s$, $M_s$ such that any connection $A$ in the trivial $SU(2)$-bundle $E_s$ with $\| F_A \|_{L^2} < \varepsilon_s$ is gauge equivalent to a connection $\tilde{A}$ satisfying*

$$d^*_s(A) = 0 \ , \quad \| \tilde{A} \|_{L^2_1} < M_s \| F_A \|_{L^2} \quad .$$

■

The problem we study now is the following: Given a monopole $[(A, \Psi)]$ on the punctured ball $B \setminus \{0\}$, does it extend to $B$ ? The "Removable singularities" theorem below asserts that, as in the instanton case, the answer is positive if the energy is finite. More precisely, $(A, \Psi)$ is gauge equivalent to a solution which extends, when $\| F_A \|^2_{L^2} < \infty$.

We identify as usual the ball $\bar{B}$ with the upper hemisphere in order to transfer the problem to the compact sphere $S = S^4$. We denote by $S^\bullet$ the punctured sphere $S^\bullet := S \setminus \{0\}$, and by $B^\bullet(r) := B(r) \setminus \{0\}$ the punctured ball of radius $r$.

We begin with estimates for cut-off solutions on $S$: Suppose we have a pair $(A, \Psi)$ on the punctured sphere which solves the monopole equations on a punctured ball $B^\bullet(R)$. The next lemma asserts that by applying suitable gauge transformations to the pair $(A, \Psi)$ restricted to small annuli, and then cutting-off towards the origin, we get a family of global pairs on the ball $B(R)$ which are "almost solutions".

In the proof of the "Removable Singularities" theorem which follows below, we shall see that, after modifying the initial pair by cutting off towards infinity, this procedure leads to a converging sequence of pairs, and the limit is a solution in a neighbourhood of 0. By construction, the limit will be gauge equivalent to the given pair on a sufficiently small punctured ball.

Let $\gamma : \Lambda^1_S \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}(S^+_s, S^-_s)$ be a Clifford map on the sphere, $g$ the corresponding metric, $E_s$ the trivial $SU(2)$-bundle, and $a \in A^1_S(u(1))$ a connection in the trivial line bundle on the sphere. Let $\sigma$ be the corresponding $Spin^{U(4)}(4)$-structure.

**Lemma 2.2.3** *Let $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{P}(E_s|_{S^\bullet})) \times A^0(S^+_s|_{S^\bullet} \otimes E_s|_{S^\bullet})$ be a pair on the punctured sphere whose restriction to the punctured ball $B^\bullet(R)$, solves the $PU(2)$-monopole equation for $(\sigma|_{B^\bullet(R)}, a|_{B^\bullet(R)})$ such that $\int\limits_{S^\bullet} |F_A|^2 < 8\pi^2$.*

*For any sufficiently small positive number $r$, there exists a pair*

$$(A_r, \Psi_r) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \times A^0(S^+_s \otimes E)$$

*and gauge transformations $\rho_r \in SU(E_s|_{S^\bullet})$ such that*

$$\rho_r^*\left((A, \Psi)|_{S\setminus\bar{B}(r)}\right) = (A_r, \Psi_r)|_{S\setminus\bar{B}(r)} \ , \qquad (i)$$

$$\| F^{\pm}_{A_r} \|_{L^2} \rightarrow \| F^{\pm}_{A} \|_{L^2} \ , \quad \| \Psi_r \|_{L^4} \rightarrow \| \Psi \|_{L^4} \ , \| D\!\!\!/_{A_r,a} \Psi_r \|_{L^2} \rightarrow \| D\!\!\!/_{A,a} \Psi \|_{L^2},$$
$$\| \Gamma(F^+_{A_r}) - \mu_{0,0}(\Psi_r) \|_{L^2} \rightarrow \| \Gamma(F^+_{A}) - \mu_{0,0}(\Psi) \|^2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0 \qquad (ii)$$

$$\| \Gamma(F^+_{A_r}) - \mu_{0,0}(\Psi_r) \|_{L^2(B(R))} \rightarrow 0 \ , \quad \| D\!\!\!/_{A_r,a}(\Psi_r) \|_{L^2(B(R))} \rightarrow 0 \ \textit{as } r \rightarrow 0 \ . \qquad (iii)$$

**Proof:** The pairs $(A_r, \Psi_r)$ will be obtained in three steps:
1. We shall apply gauge transformations to the restriction of $(A, \Psi)$ to a small annulus in order to get bounds for the $L^4$ norms of the restricted pairs.
2. We extend the gauge transformations obtained in this way to the punctured sphere.
3. We cut off the pairs obtained in 2. towards the origin and estimate the cut-off pairs.

We use the notations of [DK]. Let $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{N}'$ be the annuli

$$\mathcal{N} := \{x \in B | \ \frac{1}{2} < |x| < 1\} \ , \quad \mathcal{N}' := \{x \in B | \ \frac{4}{6} < |x| < \frac{5}{6}\} \ .$$

1. For $0 < r \leq \frac{5}{6}$ put also $\mathcal{N}_r := \frac{6r}{5}\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{N}'_r = \frac{6r}{5}\mathcal{N}'$. Note that the constants $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{N}_r}$ in Lemma 2.1.13 are bounded below by a positive number $\varepsilon_0$ independent of $r$, and the constants $M_{\mathcal{N}_r,\mathcal{N}'_r}$ are bounded above by a constant $M_0$ independent of $r$. This follows by Remark 2.1.2 and by the conformal invariance of the $L^4$-norm ($L^2$-norm) on 1-forms ( 2-forms).

Let $\varphi$ be a $[0,1]$-valued cut-off function on $S$ which vanishes on an open ball containing the interior boundary of $\mathcal{N}'$ and is equal to 1 outside a sphere contained in $\mathcal{N}'$. Put $\psi := \varphi^2$, $\varphi_r := \varphi(\frac{5}{6r}\,\cdot)$, $\psi_r = \varphi_r^2$. The cut-off functions $\varphi_r$ and $\psi_r$ vanish inside $B(\frac{4r}{5})$ and are identically 1 outside $B(r)$.

By the conformal invariance of the $L^4$-norm on 1-forms and Remark 2.1.2 it follows that $\parallel d\psi_r \parallel_{L^4}$ converges to the $L^4$-norm of $d\psi$ computed with the standard flat metric as $r \to 0$. Therefore there is a uniform bound

$$\parallel d\psi_r \parallel_{L^4} \leq c_0 \ .$$

Choose now $r_0$ sufficiently small so that $\int\limits_{\mathcal{N}_{r_0}} |F_A|^2 < \varepsilon_0^2 \leq \varepsilon_{\mathcal{N}_r}^2$. It follows from Lemma 2.1.13 that for all $r \leq r_0$ the exists a gauge transformation $f_r$ of $E_s|_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}_r}$ such that the transformed connection $A'_r := f_r^*(A|_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}'_r})$ in $E_s|_{\mathcal{N}'_r}$ satisfies

$$\parallel A'_r \parallel_{L^4} < \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}_r,\mathcal{N}'_r} \parallel F_{A|_{\mathcal{N}_r}} \parallel_{L^2} \leq M_0 \parallel F_{A|_{\mathcal{N}_r}} \parallel_{L^2} \ . \tag{14}$$

We can assume that $f_r$ is defined on the whole pointed ball $B(\frac{6r}{5})^{\bullet}$. Since $\pi_3(SU(2)) = \mathbb{Z}$, there is apriori an obstruction against the extension of $f_r|_{\mathcal{N}'_r}$ towards infinity to a gauge transformation on the pointed sphere.

2. However, we can always extend $f_r|_{\mathcal{N}'_r}$ as an isomorphism of $SU(2)$-bundles $\tilde{f}_r : E_r|_{S^\bullet} \longrightarrow E_s|_{S^\bullet}$ , where $E_r$ is a (possibly non-trivial) $SU(2)$-bundle on the sphere with a fixed trivialisation over $S \setminus \{\infty\}$. The second Chern class $c_2(E_r)$ coincides up to sign with the obstruction (which belongs to $\pi_3(SU(2))$) to the extension of $f_r|_{\mathcal{N}'_r}$.

3. Let $\tilde{A}'_r := \tilde{f}_r^*(A)$ be the pull back connection. We cut-off $\tilde{A}'_r$ towards the origin by setting

$$A_r := \psi_r \tilde{A}'_r \ .$$

Then $A_r$ is a connection in the bundle $E_r$ which is flat on $B(\frac{4r}{5})$ and coincides with $A'_r$ in a neighbourhood of the exterior boundary of $\mathcal{N}'_r$. We have

$$F_{A_r} = \psi_r F_{\tilde{A}'_r} + d\psi_r \wedge \tilde{A}'_r + (\psi_r^2 - \psi_r)(\tilde{A}'_r \wedge \tilde{A}'_r) \ . \tag{15}$$

Therefore, since the last two terms are supported in $\mathcal{N}'_r$ we get by (14) the estimate

$$\parallel F^{\pm}_{A_r} - \psi_r F^{\pm}_{\tilde{A}'_r} \parallel_{L^2} \leq c_0 M_0 \parallel F_{A|_{\mathcal{N}_r}} \parallel_{L^2} + M_0^2 \parallel F_{A|_{\mathcal{N}_r}} \parallel^2_{L^2} \ . \tag{16}$$

But

$$\| F^{\pm}_{A|_{S\setminus B(r)}} \|_{L^2} \leq \| \psi_r F_{\tilde{A}'_r} \|^{\pm}_{L^2} \leq \| F^{\pm}_{A|_{S\setminus B(\frac{4r}{5})}} \|_{L^2} \ ,$$

and the right hand term in (16) tends to 0, as $r \to 0$, because we have assumed

$$\| F_A \|^2_{L^2} < 8\pi^2 \ .$$

Therefore $\lim\limits_{r\to 0} \| F^{\pm}_{A_r} \|_{L^2} = \| F^{\pm}_{A} \|_{L^2}$ as claimed. In particular, the second Chern class of $E_r$ must vanish if $r$ is sufficiently small, since it is an integer of module bounded by $\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \| F^{\pm}_{A_r} \|^2_{L^2}$. Therefore we may suppose that $E_r = E_s$, and that $\tilde{f}_r$ are gauge transformations of $E_s$.

Let $\tilde{\Psi}'_r := \tilde{f}^*_r(\Psi)$ be the pull-back spinor, and $\Psi_r := \varphi_r \Psi'_r$ the corresponding cut-off spinor. Note that outside the ball $B(r)$, the pair $(A_r, \Psi_r)$ is gauge equivalent to $(A, \Psi)$, so that the restriction $(A_r, \Psi_r)|_{B(R)\setminus \bar{B}(r)}$ solves the monopole equations.

The assertions *ii*), *iii*) follow now easily by similar computations. ■

Let $\gamma$ be a Clifford map on $\bar{B}$, $g := g_\gamma$ the associated metric, and fix $a \in A^1_B(u(1))$.

**Theorem 2.2.4** *(Removable singularities) Let* $(A_0, \Psi_0)$ *be a solution of the* $PU(2)$*-monopole equations for the pair* $(\mathfrak{u}_\gamma|_{B^\bullet}, a|_{B^\bullet})$ *such that*

$$\int_{B^\bullet} |F_{A_0}|^2 < \infty \ .$$

*There exists a solution* $(\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{\Psi}_0)$ *of the monopole equation for* $(\mathfrak{u}_\gamma, a)$ *and a gauge transformation* $\rho \in SU(E_0|_{B^\bullet})$ *such that* $\rho^*(\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{\Psi}_0) = (A_0, \Psi_0)$.

**Proof:** We use similar arguments as in the proof of the "Removable singularities" theorem for the instanton equation (Theorem 4.4.12 [DK]).

Identify as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.4. the unit ball $\bar{B}$ with the upper hemisphere of $S$, fix trivializations of $S^{\pm}_s|_{\bar{B}}$, and endow the sphere with a $Spin(4)$-structure $\gamma_s : \Lambda^1_S \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}(S^+_s, S^-_s)$ extending the standard Clifford map $\gamma_0$ on the flat ball, and so that the associated metric $g_s := g_{\gamma_s}$ has non-negative sectional curvature. Extend the pair $(\gamma, a)$ to the sphere. Since the problem is local, we may suppose that the obtained pair $(\gamma', a')$ is sufficiently close to $(\gamma_s, 0)$, such that 2.1.12 applies.

The solution $(\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{\Psi}_0)$ will be obtained in seven steps :

1. Restrict $(A_0, \Psi_0)$ to $\mathcal{N}_R$, and apply a gauge transformation on the annulus in order to get (by Lemma 2.1.14) a solution with a bound of the $L^4$ norm of the connection component restricted to $\mathcal{N}'_R$ in terms of the curvature. This gauge transformation can be chosen so that it extends to the ball $B(\frac{6R}{5})^\bullet$ bounded by the exterior boundary of $\mathcal{N}_R$. In this way we obtain a pair on the whole pointed ball $B(\frac{6R}{5})^\bullet$ with a bound of the connection component restricted to $\mathcal{N}'_R$.

2. Cut the obtained solution towards $\infty$ using cut-off functions of the form $\lambda_R := 1 - \varphi_R$ for the connection component and $\lambda_R^2$ for the spinor component. This yields a pair $(C_R, \Phi_R)$ which extends to the whole pointed sphere $S^\bullet$ and vanishes on $S^\bullet \setminus B(R)$.

Computations similar to the ones in Lemma 2.15 show that

$$\begin{gathered} \| F_{C_R} \|_{L^2} \to 0, \ \| \Phi_R \|_{L^4} \to 0 \ , \\ \| \Gamma(F_{C_R})^+ - \mu_{0,0}(\Phi_R) \|_{L^2} \to 0, \ \| \not{D}_{C_R,a} \Phi_R \|_{L^2} \to 0 \end{gathered} \tag{19}$$

as $R \to 0$.

3. Now fix a sufficiently small $R > 0$, and apply Lemma 2.1.15 to the pair $(C_R, \Phi_R)$. Denote by $(C_{R,r}, \Phi_{R,r})$, $r \ll R$ the family of pairs given by this lemma.

4. Once $R$ is sufficiently small, the $L^2$- norm of the curvature $F_{C_{R,r}}$ is smaller than the constant $\varepsilon_s$ in the gauge fixing theorem for the sphere ( Lemma 2.1.14.) Therefore $C_{R,r}$ is gauge equivalent on $S$ to a connection $\tilde{C}_{R,r}$ satisfying

$$d^*_{g_s}(C_{R,r}) = 0 \ , \quad \| \tilde{C}_{R,r} \|_{L^2_1} < M_s \| F_{C_{R,r}} \|_{L^2} \ . \tag{20}$$

Let $\tilde{\Phi}_{R,r}$ be the spinor obtained by applying the same gauge transformation to $\Phi_{R,r}$. Since the $L^2_1$ norm of 1-forms controls the $L^4$-norm, we can assume, by choosing a sufficiently small $R$ and using (ii), 2.1.15 and (19), that we have uniform (with respect to $r$) estimates

$$\begin{gathered} \| \tilde{C}_{R,r} \|_{L^4} < \min(\eta, \alpha), \quad \| \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r} \|_{L^4} < \psi, \\ \| \Gamma((F_{\tilde{C}_{R,r}})^+) - \mu_{0,0}(\tilde{\Phi}_{R,r}) \|_{L^2} \leq \mu, \quad \| \not{D}_{[\tilde{C}_{R,r}],a'} \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r} \|_{L^2} \leq \nu \ . \end{gathered} \tag{21}$$

Here $\eta$ is the constant given by Corollary 2.1.11, and $\alpha$, $\psi$, $\mu$ and $\nu$ are the constants given by the ”Regularity” theorem 2.1.12. Now we fix $R$ with all these properties and let $r$ tend to 0.

5. For a decreasing sequence $r_i \to 0$, the sequence $(\tilde{C}_{R,r_i}, \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r_i})|_{S^\bullet}$ converges in the Fréchet topology to a smooth pair $(C', \Phi')$ .

Indeed, by (21) we have $\| \tilde{C}_{R,r} \|_{L^4} < \eta$, and by (20) $d_s^*(\tilde{C}_{R,r}|_B) = 0$ so we can make use of Corollary 2.1.11. By the scale invariance of the $L^4$-norm and Remark 2.1.2, the conclusion on this corollary holds for any sufficiently small ball $D \subset B^\bullet \subset S^\bullet$ ).

But once $D \subset B^\bullet$ is fixed, the pair $(\tilde{C}_{R,r}|_D, \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r}|_D)$ becomes gauge equivalent to the fixed pair $(C_R, \Phi_R)$, if $r$ is sufficiently small (use Lemma 2.1.15, (i) ). Therefore the numbers $Q_l(\tilde{C}_{R,r})$, $\| \nabla^{(i)}_{\tilde{C}_{R,r}} \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r}|_D \|_{L^2}$ occurring in Corollary 2.1.11 are uniformly bounded, by the gauge invariance property of these numbers.

Now 2.1.11 gives bounds for all Sobolev norms of the restrictions $\tilde{C}_{R,r}|_D$, $\tilde{\Phi}_{R,r}|_D$. Therefore we can find a decreasing convergent sequence $r_i \to 0$ of positive numbers, such that $(\tilde{C}_{R,r_i}, \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r_i})|_{S^\bullet}$ converges in the Fréchet $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology on the punctured sphere to a pair $(C', \Phi')$.

6. This pair $(C', \Phi')$ has an $L^2_1$ extension to the whole sphere.

Indeed, the $L^2_1$-estimates given by the ”Regularity” theorem 2.1.12 give a uniform bound for the $L^2_1$-norms of $(\tilde{C}_{R,r}, \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r})$. Therefore we can suppose, after taking a subsequence if necessary, that $(\tilde{C}_{R,r_i}, \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r_i})$ converges weakly in $L^2_1$ to an $L^2_1$-pair $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{\Phi})$.

7. The pair $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{\Phi})$ is smooth.

Indeed, the restriction to the punctured sphere coincides with $(C', \Phi')$, hence this restriction must be smooth.

By the semicontinuity of the norm with respect to the weak topology in reflexive Banach spaces [La] it follows that the obtained pair $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{\Phi})$ still satisfies the inequalities (20). Moreover, the $L^2$-sections $\Gamma(F^+_{\tilde{C}}) - \mu_{0,0}(\tilde{\Phi})$, $\not{D}_{\tilde{C},a'}\tilde{\Phi}$ are bounded by the constants $\mu$, $\nu$ and are smooth on the whole sphere, because they are smooth on $S^\bullet$ ( where by 5. the convergence is in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$) and they vanish in $B(\frac{4R}{5})$ by Lemma 2.1.15 *(iii)*. Therefore, by the

"Regularity" theorem 2.1.12 it follows that $(\tilde{C},\tilde{\Phi})$ is smooth. Its restriction to the ball $B(\frac{4R}{5})$ solves the monopole equations and its restriction to the punctured ball is gauge equivalent to the given pair. $\blacksquare$

## 2.3 Compactified moduli spaces

Let $(X,g)$ be a closed oriented Riemannian 4-manifold. Fix a line bundle $L$ of Chern class $c$ on $X$. By Proposition 1.1.10, the data of a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ on $X$ with $\det(P^u)\simeq L$ is equivalent via the map $\bar\delta$ to the data of $PU(2)$-bundle $\bar P$ whose Pontrjagin class satisfies

$$p_1(\bar P)\equiv (w_2(X)+\bar c)^2 \bmod 4 \ .$$

For a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma$ and a connection $a\in\mathcal{A}(L)$, denote by $\mathcal{M}^\sigma_a$ the moduli space of pairs $(A,\Psi)\in\mathcal{A}(\bar\delta(P^u))\times A^0(\Sigma^+(P^G))$ solving the $PU(2)$-monopole equations $SW^\sigma_a$ (see sect. 1.2.2, 1.2.4).

**Definition 2.3.1** *Fix a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma: P^u\longrightarrow P_g$ in $(X,g)$ with $\det(P^u)=L$ and a connection $a\in\mathcal{A}(L)$. An ideal $PU(2)$-monopole of type $(\sigma,a)$ is a pair $([A',\Psi'],\{x_1,\dots,x_l\})$ consisting of an element $\{x_1,\dots,x_l\})$ in the $l$-th-symmetric power $S^l(X)$ of $X$, and a monopole $[A',\Psi']\in\mathcal{M}^{\sigma'}_a$, where $\sigma': P'^u\longrightarrow P_g$ is the $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure on $X$ characterized by*

$$\det(P'^u)=L\ ,\quad l=\frac{1}{4}\Big(p_1(\bar\delta(P'^u))-(p_1(\bar\delta(P^u))\Big)\ .$$

We denote by $I\mathcal{M}^\sigma_a$ the space of ideal monopoles of type $(\sigma,a)$.

Let $\delta_x$ be the Dirac measure associated with a point $x\in X$.

**Lemma 2.3.2** *The map $F: I\mathcal{M}^\sigma_a\longrightarrow[\mathcal{C}^0(X,\mathbb{R})]^*$, defined by*

$$F([A',\Psi'],\{x_1,\dots,x_l\})=|F_{A'}|^2+8\pi^2\sum_{i=1}^{l}\delta_{x_i}\ ,$$

*is bounded with respect to the strong topology in the dual space $[\mathcal{C}^0(X,\mathbb{R})]^*$.*

**Proof:** Let $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^0(X,\mathbb{R})$ with $\sup\limits_X|\varphi|\le 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle F([A',\Psi'],\{x_1,\dots,x_l\}),\varphi\rangle| \le\ & \Big[\parallel F^-_{A'}\parallel^2_{L^2}-\parallel F^+_{A'}\parallel^2_{L^2}\Big]+2\parallel F^+_{A'}\parallel^2_{L^2}+8\pi^2 l\\ & =-2\pi^2p_1(\bar\delta(P^u))+2C\parallel\Psi'\parallel^4_{L^4}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where $C$ is a universal positive constant. The assertion follows from the $\mathcal{C}^0$-boundedness of the spinor proved in Proposition 2.1.8. ■

Let $\mathfrak{m}' = ([A', \Psi'], s')$ be an ideal monopole of type $(\sigma, a)$ with $s' \in S^l(X)$ and $[A', \Psi'] \in \mathcal{M}_a^{\sigma'}$. For a positive number $\varepsilon$ we define $U(\mathfrak{m}', \varepsilon)$ to be the set of ideal monopoles $\mathfrak{m}'' = ([A'', \Psi''], s'')$ of type $(\sigma, a)$ satisfying $s'' \subset s'$, which have the following property:

Denoting by $\sigma' : P'^u \longrightarrow P_g$, $\sigma'' : P''^u \longrightarrow P_g$ the $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structures corresponding to $(A', \Psi')$, $(A'', \Psi'')$, there exists isomorphism of $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structures $\varphi : P''^u|_{X \setminus s'} \longrightarrow P'^u|_{X \setminus s'}$ such that

$$d_1(\varphi^*(A', \Psi'), (A'', \Psi'')) < \varepsilon \ ,$$

where $d_1$ is a metric defining the Fréchet $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology in $\mathcal{A}(\bar{\delta}(P''^u|_{X \setminus s'})) \times A^0(\Sigma^+(P''^u|_{X \setminus s'})))$.

Let $M > 0$ be a bound for the map $F$ defined above. The weak topology in the ball of radius $M$ in $[\mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathbb{R})]^*$ is metrisable (see [La], Theorem 9.4.2). Let $d_2$ be a metric defining this topology.

We endow the set $I\mathcal{M}_a^\sigma$ with a metric topology by taking as basis of open neighbourhoods for an ideal monopole $\mathfrak{m}'$ the sets $U(\mathfrak{m}', \varepsilon) \cap F^{-1}(B_{d_2}(F(\mathfrak{m}'), \varepsilon))$.

**Theorem 2.3.3** *With respect to the metric topology defined above the moduli space* $\mathcal{M}_a^\sigma \subset I\mathcal{M}_a^\sigma$ *is an open subspace with compact closure* $\overline{\mathcal{M}_a^\sigma}$.

**Proof:** The first assertion is obvious. For the second, we use the same argument as in the instanton case, but we make use in an essential way of the $\mathcal{C}^0$-boundedness of the spinor:

Let $\mathfrak{m}_n$ a sequence of ideal monopoles. It is easy to see that we can reduce the general case to the case where $\mathfrak{m}_n = [A_n, \Psi_n] \in \mathcal{M}_a^\sigma$. By Lemma 2.1.18, the sequence of measures $\mu_n := F(\mathfrak{m}_n)$ is bounded, so after replacing $\mathfrak{m}_n$ by a subsequence, if necessary, it converges weakly to a (positive) measure $\mu$ of total volume $\mu(1) \leq M$. The set

$$S_\varepsilon := \{x \in X | \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall m \geq n \ (\mu_m(D) \geq \varepsilon^2 \text{ for every geodesic ball } D \ni x)\}$$

contains at most $\frac{M}{\varepsilon^2}$ points, so it is finite for every positive number $\varepsilon$. Choosing the constant $\varepsilon$ provided by the "Global compactness" theorem (Corollary 2.1.7), it follows by a standard diagonal procedure that there exists a subsequence $(\mathfrak{m}_{n_m})_m$ and gauge transformations $f_m$ on $X \setminus S_\varepsilon$, such that $f_m^*(\mathfrak{m}_{n_m})$

converges to a solution $(A_0, \Psi_0)$ of the monopole equations $SW^{\sigma}_{a}$ restricted to $X \setminus S_\varepsilon$. By the "Removable Singularities" theorem, we can extend this solution to a global solution $(\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{\Psi}_0)$ of the monopole equations $SW^{\sigma'}_{a}$ for a suitable $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma' : P'^u \longrightarrow P_g$ with $\det(P'^u) = L$. We have

$$|F_{\tilde{A}_0}|^2 = |F_{A_0}|^2 = \mu - 8\pi^2 \sum_{x \in S_\varepsilon} \lambda_x \delta_x$$

with positive numbers $\lambda_x$. It remains to prove that the $\lambda_x$ are integers. Since $F(\mathfrak{m}_{n_m}) \to \mu$, we have for small enough $r > 0$

$$\lambda_x = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |F_{A_{n_m}}|^2 - |F_{\tilde{A}_0}|^2 =$$

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} -\mathrm{Tr}(F^2_{A_{n_m}}) + \mathrm{Tr}(F_{\tilde{A}_0})^2 + 2\left(|\mu_{0,0}(\Psi_{n_m})|^2 - |\mu_{0,0}(\tilde{\Psi}_0)|^2\right) \ .$$

As in the instanton case we get

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left[\int\limits_{B(x,r)} -\mathrm{Tr}(F^2_{A_{n_m}}) + \mathrm{Tr}(F_{\tilde{A}_0})^2\right]_{\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z}} = \lim_{m\to\infty} (\tau_{S(x,r)}(A_{n_m}) - \tau_{S(x,r)}(\ \mathit{tildeA}_0))$$

$$= 0 \text{ in } {}^{\mathbb{R}}\!/_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

by the convergence $f^*_m(A_{n_m}|_{X\setminus S_\varepsilon}) \to \tilde{A}_0|_{X\setminus S_\varepsilon}$. Here $\tau_S(B)$ denotes the Chern-Simons invariant of the connection $B$ on a 3-manifold $S$ ([DK]).

On the other hand, by the apriori $\mathcal{C}^0$-bound of the spinor component on the space of monopoles, the term $\int\limits_{B(x,r)} 2\left(|\mu_{0,0}(\Psi_{n_m})|^2 - |\mu_{0,0}(\tilde{\Psi}_0)|^2\right)$ can be made as small as we please by choosing $r$ sufficiently small. This shows that the $\lambda_x$ are integers, and that

$$\sum_{x \in S_\varepsilon} \lambda_x = \frac{1}{4}\left(p_1(\bar{\delta}(P'^u)) - (p_1(\bar{\delta}(P^u))\right) \ ,$$

which completes the proof. ■

# 3 Transversality Results and Compactification of Perturbed Moduli Spaces

Equations for pairs $(A, \Psi)$, where $A$ is a unitary connection with fixed determinant connection and $\Psi$ a non-abelian Dirac spinor have been already considered [PT1], [PT2]. For instance, the definition of $Spin^c$-polynomial invariants starts with the construction of the moduli space of solutions of the $(ASD - Spin^c)$-equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} \not{D}_A\Psi & = & 0\ , \quad \Psi \neq 0 \\ F_A^+ & = & 0\ . \end{array}\right.$$

The proofs of the corresponding transversality results are incomplete. They are based on the following false assertion ([PT2], [PT1]):

**(A)** Let $P^c \longrightarrow P_g$ be a $Spin^c(4)$-structure with spinor bundles $\Sigma^{\pm}(P^c)$ on a Riemannian 4-manifold $(X, g)$, $E$ a Hermitian 2-bundle on $X$, and $A$ a unitary connection in $E$. If the $\not{D}_A$-harmonic non-vanishing positive spinor $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+(P^c) \otimes E)$ is fibrewise degenerate considered as morphism $E^\vee \longrightarrow \Sigma^+$, then $A$ is reducible.

(In the proof of this assertion ([PT1] p . 277) is used the fact that, in the presence of a $Spin^c(4)$-structure, the Clifford pairing $(\alpha, \sigma) \longmapsto \gamma(\alpha)\sigma$ between 1-forms and positive spinors has no divisors of zero. This is true for real 1-forms, but not for complex ones. )

Counterexamples are easy to find:

Every holomorphic section in a holomorphic Hermitian 2-vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on a Kähler surface can be regarded as a degenerate harmonic positive spinor in $\Sigma^+_{\mathrm{can}} \otimes \mathcal{E}$, where $\Sigma^+_{\mathrm{can}} = \Lambda^{00} \oplus \Lambda^{02}$ is the positive spinor bundle of the canonical $Spin^c(4)$-structure in $X$, if we endow $\mathcal{E}$ with the Chern connection given by the holomorphic structure. Therefore any indecomposable holomorphic 2-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ with $H^0(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ gives a counterexample to the assertion **(A)**.

Note that counterexamples occur precisely in the Kähler framework, where all explicit computations of moduli spaces and invariants were carried out.

Below we shall prove a complete $S^1$-equivariant transversality theorem for the $PU(2)$-monopole equations, which can be extended to handle also the simpler case of the $(ASD - Spin^c)$-equations. The terms containing derivatives are no longer linear, and the symbol of the linearized equations does not have diagonal form. Note also that for our perturbations of the $PU(2)$-monopole equations there is no way to obtain an apriori $\mathcal{C}^0$-bound for the spinor with help of the Weitzenböck formula.

## 3.1 Generic transversality results

Let $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ be a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure on the closed oriented Riemannian 4-manifold $(X, g)$. Recall that there is a natural embedding

$$P^u \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Sigma^+(P^u), \Sigma^-(P^u)) \ ,$$

so that any section $\lambda \in A^0(P^u \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^4)$ in this complex 4-bundle can be regarded as a morphism $A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u)) \longrightarrow A^0(\Sigma^-(P^u))$ commuting with the $\mathbb{G}(P^u)$-actions in the two spinor bundles.

Consider the parameter space

$$\mathcal{P} := A^0(P^u \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^4) \times A^0(\mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{ad}_+(P^u))) \times \prod_i A^0(\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{ad}_+(P^u)))$$

and set

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{A}(\bar{\delta}(P^u)) \times A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u)) \ .$$

Fix a finite system $(Y_i)$ of generators for the $A^0$-module $\mathcal{X}(X)$ of vector fields on $X$, and define a map

$$SW : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow A^0(\mathrm{ad}_+(P^u) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0(P^u)) \times A^0(\Sigma^-(P^u))$$

by

$$SW(\lambda, K, K_i, A, \Psi) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \Gamma(F_A^+) - (K \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_0})\mu_{0,0}(\Psi) + \\ + \sum\limits_i (K_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) \left[\nabla_{A,Y_i}(\mu_{0,0}(\Psi))\right] \\ \\ (\not{D}_A + \lambda)\Psi \end{array} \right) .$$

Complete the configuration space $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to suitable Sobolev norm $L^2_k$ and $A^0(\Sigma^-(P^u)) \otimes A^0(\mathrm{ad}_+(P^u) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0(P^u))$ with respect to $L^2_{k-1}$.

According to sect. 1.2.3, a pair $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{\delta}(P^u)) \times A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u))$ will be called abelian if there exists a reduction $P^c_1 \times_X P^c_2 \xrightarrow{\rho} P^u$ of $P^u$ to $S^1 \times S^1$ such that $(A, \Psi)$ is induced by a triple $(A_1, A_2, \Phi)$ with $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(\det(P^c_i))$, $A_1 \otimes A_2 = a^{\otimes 2}$ and $\Phi \in A^0(\Sigma^+(P^c_1))$.

**Theorem 3.1.1** *Let $p = (\lambda, K, K_i, A, \Psi) \in Z(SW)$ with $\Psi \neq 0$. If $SW$ is not a submersion in $p$, then there exists a non-empty open set $U \subset X$ such that $(A, \Psi)|_U$ is an abelian pair.*

**Proof:** Let $(S, \Phi) \in A^0(\mathrm{ad}_+(P^u) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0(P^u)) \times A^0(\Sigma^-(P^u))$ be a smooth pair orthogonal to $\mathrm{im}(d_p(SW))$ with $(S, \Phi) \neq 0$.

First we show

**Assertion 1.** Either $S = 0$, or the solution $(A, \Psi)$ is abelian on a non-empty open set.

**Proof:** Suppose that $\{x \in X |\ S_x \neq 0\} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $X_i \subset X$ be the set of points where $\Psi$ has rank $i$. Since $\Psi$ is the solution of a second order elliptic equation with scalar symbol, it follows that the open set $X_1 \bigcup X_2 = \{\Psi \neq 0\}$ is dense.

Using the variation of $K$, we get immediately that fibrewise

$$S(a) \perp \mu_{0,0}(\Psi)(a) \text{ in } \mathfrak{g}_0, \text{ for every } a \in \mathrm{ad}_+(P^u)^\vee \ .$$

This implies that the open set $\{S \neq 0\}$ is contained in $X_0 \bigcup X_1$, hence there exists a nonempty contractible open set

$$U \subset (X_1 \cap \{S \neq 0\}) \ .$$

Choose a trivialization of $P^u|_U$; it induces a $Spin(4)$-structure

$$\gamma_U : \Lambda^1_U \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(S^+, S^-)$$

in $U$ compatible with the metric $g|_U$, and identifications $\Sigma^\pm(P^u)|_U = S^\pm \otimes E$, where $S^\pm$, $E$ are trivial $SU(2)-$, respectively $U(2)-$bundles. If we denote by $A_U$, $a_U$ the connection matrices of $A$ and $a$, we see that the connection $\nabla_A$ on $\Sigma^+(P^u)|_U$ corresponds to the connection induced by the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla_{\gamma_U}$ in $S^+$ and the connection $B = A_U + \frac{1}{2} a_U$ in $E$.

Choose a frame $(e_1, e_2)$ in $E$ and put $L_i = X \times \mathbb{C} e_i$. We may suppose that $\Psi|_U$ is a section in $S^+ \otimes L_1$. Let $\sigma \in A^0(S^+)$ be the nowhere vanishing spinor $\sigma \in A^0(S^\pm)$ defined by

$$\Psi|_U = \sigma \otimes e_1 \ .$$

We get

$$(\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0 = \frac{(\sigma\bar{\sigma})_0}{2} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Decompose the connection $B$ as

$$d_B = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -\bar{b} \\ b & d_2 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $b\ e_2 \otimes \bar{e}_1 \in A^1(L_2 \otimes \bar{L}_1)$ is the second fundamental form of $L_1$ with respect to the connection $B$. Write $b = u + i\ v \in A^1_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$. Using the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_0(P^u|_U) = \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus (L_2 \otimes \bar{L}_1)$, we get

$$\mathrm{ad}_+(P^u|_U) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0(P^u|_U) = su(S^+) \oplus \left[\mathrm{End}_0(S^+) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (L_2 \otimes \bar{L}_1)\right] \tag{1}$$

Since $S(a) \perp \mu_{0,0}(a)$ for $a \in su(S^+)^\vee$, we get that, with respect to this decomposition, $S|_U$ has the form

$$S|_U = s \otimes (e_2 \otimes \bar{e}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -s^* \\ s & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

with $s \in \mathrm{End}_0(S^+)$. The component of $\nabla_A(\mu_{0,0}(\Psi))$ in the second summand occurring in (1) is

$$\frac{(\sigma\bar{\sigma})_0}{2} \otimes \nabla_A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = (\sigma\bar{\sigma})_0 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{b} \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

By the orthogonality condition $(\Phi, S) \perp \mathrm{im} d_p(SW)$, we get for any compactly supported variation $k_i \in A^0(\mathrm{End}(su(S^+)))$ of $K_i$ the identity

$$0 = Re \left\langle k_i(\sigma\bar{\sigma})_0 \otimes b(Y_i)(e_2 \otimes \bar{e}_1), s \otimes (e_2 \otimes \bar{e}_1) \right\rangle = Re \left[ \left\langle k_i(\sigma\bar{\sigma})_0, \overline{b(Y_i)}\ s \right\rangle \right] .$$

Writing $s = g + ih$, with Hermitian endomorphisms $g$ and $h$, this means

$$\langle k_i(\sigma\bar{\sigma})_0, u(Y_i)g + v(Y_i)h \rangle = 0$$

for all compactly supported $k_i \in A^0(\mathrm{End}(su(S^+)))$.

It follows that $[u(Y_i)g + v(Y_i)h] \otimes [(\sigma\bar{\sigma})_0]^\vee = 0$ as current, hence as section as well, where $(\cdot)^\vee$ denotes the duality morphism defined by the inner product. Therefore, since $\sigma$ is nowhere vanishing on $U$, we must have

$$u(Y_i)g + v(Y_i)h = 0 \ \ \forall\ i , \tag{3}$$

hence $u \otimes g + v \otimes h = 0$.

**Claim 1:** The 1-forms $u$ and $v$ have the same norm and are orthogonal in every point $x \in U$.

Indeed, the component in $S^- \otimes L_2$ of $(\not{D}_{\gamma,A} + \lambda)\Psi$ is $\beta(u)(\sigma)e_2$, and this component must vanish. It follows that $\det(\gamma(b)) = 0$, hence $g^{\mathbb{C}}_\gamma(b,b) = 0$. Writing $b = u + iv$, this means that $|u|_{g_\gamma} = |v|_{g_\gamma}$, and $(u,v)_{g_\gamma} = 0$. This proves the claim.

Now let $x \in U$ be point where $b \neq 0$. Then $u_x$ and $v_x$ are linearly independent by Claim 1, hence they remain linearly independent for all $y$ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood $V$ of $x$. Taking the contraction of the left hand term in (3) with a compactly supported $m \in A^0(su(S^+)^\vee))$, we find that $m(h) = m(g) = 0$ for every such endomorphism $m$. Therefore $g = h = 0$, which contradicts the choice of $x$. It follows that $b = 0$ on $U$, hence $(A,\Psi)|_U$ is abelian. This completes the proof of Assertion 1.

Now we prove

**Assertion 2.** If $(\Phi, S) \neq 0$, then the solution $(A, \Psi)$ is abelian on a non-empty open set.

**Proof:**

We may assume that $S = 0$.

Consider the contraction map

$$(\mathbb{H}_+ \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^2) \times (\mathbb{H}_- \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{H}_+, \mathbb{H}_-)$$

$$(h_+ \otimes v, h_- \otimes w) \longmapsto \langle v, w\rangle h_- \otimes \bar{h}_+ \ ;$$

it defines a sesquilinear map $q : \Sigma^+(P^u) \times \Sigma^-(P^u) \longrightarrow P^u \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^4$. Using variations of the parameter $\lambda$, we get easily

**Claim 2:** $q(\Psi, \Phi) = 0$

Suppose $\Phi \neq 0$, and let $X^i$ be the set of points where $\Phi$ has rank $i$. From Claim 2 it follows that $\Psi|_{X^2} = 0$, hence by Aronzajin's theorem the harmonic spinor $\Psi$ must vanish if $X^2$ was nonempty. Since we have assumed $\Psi \neq 0$, it follows that $X^2=\emptyset$, and therefore the set $X^1=X\setminus Z(\Phi)$ is open and non-empty. By Claim 2 again it follows that $\mathrm{rk}(\Psi|_{X^1})\leq 1$ and by Aronzajin's theorem $X^1\setminus Z(\Psi)$ is dense in $X^1$. On this non-empty open set $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ have both rank 1. Fix as above a trivialisation of $P^u$ on a contractible open set $U\subset X^1\setminus Z(\Psi)$, and define in the same way the objects $S^\pm$, $E$, $B$, $(e_1,e_2)$, $(L_1,L_2)$. Using Claim 2, we see that it is possible choose the framing of $(e_1,e_2)$ such that $\Phi|_U$, $\Psi|_U$ have the form

$$\Phi|_U=\sigma_-\otimes e_1\ ,\ \ \Psi|_U=\sigma_+\otimes e_2\ ,$$

where $\sigma_\pm$ are nowhere vanishing sections in $S^\pm$.

Using variations $\alpha\in A^1(su(E))$ of the connection $A$ which have the form $\alpha=\beta\otimes\varphi$ with $\beta\in A^1(U)$, $\varphi\in A^0(su(E))$, we obtain

$$Re\,\langle\gamma(\beta)(\sigma_+)\varphi(e_2),\sigma_-\otimes e_1\rangle=0 \qquad (*)$$

for every compactly supported $\beta$, $\varphi$. Now choose $\tilde\beta\in A^1(U)$ and $\tilde\varphi\in A^0(su(E))$ such that $\gamma(\tilde\beta)(\sigma_+)=\sigma_-$ , $\tilde\varphi(e_2)=e_1$ (use $e_1\perp e_2$), and set $\beta:=\rho\tilde\beta$, $\varphi:=\rho\tilde\varphi$, where $\rho$ is a non-vanishing $[0,1]$-valued cut-off function. Then $(*)$ gives a contradiction. ■

The same continuation method as in [DK] gives

**Proposition 3.1.2** *A $PU(2)$-monopole $(A,\Psi)$ with $\Psi\neq 0$, whose restriction to a non-empty open set is abelian, is globally abelian.*

Note that we do not need to assume that $X$ is simply connected: The non-vanishing spinor $\Psi$ provides a well defined continuation of the abelian reduction.

Theorem 2.1.20 shows that $\mathcal{A}^{SW}\subset\mathcal{A}$ is smooth in the complement of the abelian locus and of the locus $\Psi=0$. Our next aim is to study $\mathcal{A}^{SW}$ around the abelian locus.

## 3.2 Transversality around the abelian locus

We fix an abelian reduction $\rho:(P_1^c\times_X P_2^c)\longrightarrow P^u$ of $P^u$. The corresponding abelian solutions of $SW_a^\sigma$ are up to gauge equivalence twisted abelian monopoles $(B_1, \Phi_1)$ for $P_1^c \longrightarrow P_g$ satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \not{D}_{B_1}\Phi_1 & = & 0 \\ \Gamma(F_{B_1}^+) & = & (\Phi_1\bar{\Phi}_1)_0 + \Gamma(F_a^+) \ . \end{array} \right. \qquad (SW^{\mathfrak{c}_1}_{\Gamma(F_a^+)})$$

In particular no solution of the form $(B_1, 0)$ can occur if the class $c_1(\det(P_1^c)) - c_1(\det(P^u))$ is not $g$-anti-selfdual. Note that the same is valid for any $\rho$-reducible solution of the perturbed equations. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_\rho$ the configuration space

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho := \{(\lambda, K, K_i, A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{A} |\ (A, \Psi) \text{ is } \rho - \text{reducible}\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.1** *Consider an abelian reduction* $\rho : (P_1^c \times_X P_2^c) \longrightarrow P^u$ *of* $P^u$ *such that* $c_1(\det(P_1^c)) - c_1(\det(P^u))$ *is not* $g_\gamma$*-anti-selfdual. There exists an open dense subset* $\mathcal{P}_0$ *in the set of parameters* $\mathcal{P}$ *such that* $\mathcal{A}_\rho^{SW} \cap p_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ *is smooth for any* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_0$*.*

We may suppose that $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ is associated with the pair $(P^c, E)$ as in Proposition 1.1.11; then $\rho$ defines a splitting $E^\vee \otimes \det(P^u) = L \oplus M$. Denote by $\Sigma^\pm$ the spinor bundles of $P^c$, and fix a connection $b \in \mathcal{A}(\det(\Sigma^\pm)$.

Let $\mathfrak{m} = (A, \Psi)$ be an abelian $PU(2)$-monopole of type $(\sigma, a)$ associated with the reduction $\rho$. This means that $\Psi \in A^0(L \otimes \Sigma^+) \subset A^0(\Sigma^+(P^u))$ and the splitting $\Sigma^\pm(P^u) = (\Sigma^\pm \otimes L) \oplus (\Sigma^\pm \otimes M)$ is $A$-parallel. The $PU(2)$-bundle $\bar{\delta}(P^u)$ reduces to $L^\vee \otimes M$. Let $c_A$ be the connection in this line bundle associated with $A$. Then $A$ gives rise to connection $A_1$, $A_2$ in the summands $L$, $M$ characterized by the conditions

$$A_1^{-1} \otimes A_2 = c_A \ , \quad A_1 \otimes A_2 = a \otimes b^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}(\det(P^u) \otimes (\det \Sigma)^\vee) \ .$$

We compute the cohomology group $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of the elliptic complex associated with $\mathfrak{m}$ (see sect. 1.2.4). Denote by $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathfrak{m},ab}$ the second harmonic space of the elliptic complex associated to $\mathfrak{m}$ regarded as element in the abelian moduli space associated to the $Spin^c(4)$-structure $P_1^c \longrightarrow P_g$. We have

$$H^2_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathfrak{m},ab} \oplus \{(\alpha, \varphi) \in A^2_+(L^\vee \otimes M) \oplus A^0(\Sigma^- \otimes M) |\ (N^1_{A,\Psi})^*(\alpha, \varphi) = 0\} \ ,$$

where $N^1_{A,\Psi}$ is the operator

$$A^1(L^\vee \otimes M) \oplus A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes M) \xrightarrow{N^1_{A,\Psi}} A^2_+(L^\vee \otimes M) \oplus A^0(\Sigma^- \otimes M) \ ,$$

$$N^1_{A,\Psi}(\alpha,\varphi) := \left(\begin{array}{l} d^+_{c_A}\alpha - \Gamma^{-1}(\varphi\bar{\Psi})_0 \\ \not{D}_{c_A}\varphi + \gamma(\alpha)\Psi \end{array}\right) .$$

The subscript $(\ \cdot\ )_0$ in the right hand term means the trace-free component with respect to $\mathrm{End}(\Sigma^+)$. Consider also the operator

$$N^0_{A,\Psi} : A^0(L^\vee \otimes M) \longrightarrow A^1(L^\vee \otimes M) \oplus A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes M)\ ,\ \ N^0_{A,\Psi}(h) = \left(\begin{array}{c} -d_{c_A}h \\ h(\Psi) \end{array}\right)$$

whose formal adjoint is

$$(\alpha,\varphi) \xmapsto{(N^0_{A,\Psi})^*} -d^*_{c_A}\alpha + \varphi\bar{\Psi}\ .$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} = (\lambda, K, \kappa)$, $\kappa := (K_i)_i$ be a point in the space of perturbations. If $\mathfrak{m}$ is a solution of the $\mathfrak{p}$-perturbed equations, we get a similar decomposition of $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathfrak{m})$ , but the corresponding formula for $N^1_{A,\Psi}$ will be

$$N^1_{A,\Psi,\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha,\varphi) = \left(\begin{array}{l} d^+_{c_A}\alpha - \Gamma^{-1}\left[K(\varphi\bar{\Psi})_0 + \sum\limits_i K_i\left[\alpha(Y_i)(\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0) - \nabla_{c_A,Y_i}(\varphi\bar{\Psi})\right]\right] \\ \not{D}_{c_A}\varphi + \lambda\varphi + \gamma(\alpha)\Psi \end{array}\right) .$$

The operators $N^0_{A,\Psi,\mathfrak{p}}$, $N^1_{A,\Psi,\mathfrak{p}}$ form an elliptic complex $N_{\mathfrak{p},A,\Psi}$, which will be called the normal elliptic complex of the abelian solution $\mathfrak{m}$.

Let $\chi(N_{A,\Psi,\mathfrak{p}})$ be the index of this complex.

**Proposition 3.2.2** *Let $\mathcal{M}_\rho$ be the moduli space*

$$\mathcal{M}_\rho := \{[(\mathfrak{p}, A, \Psi)] |\ (\mathfrak{p}, A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{A}_\rho\ ,\ SW(\mathfrak{p}, A, \Psi) = 0\}$$

*of $\rho$-reducible abelian solutions.*
*(i) $\mathcal{M}_\rho$ is smooth and the projection $p_{\mathcal{P}}$ on the perturbation-argument $\mathfrak{p} := (\lambda, K, \kappa)$-argument is proper. The dimension of the fibre over a regular value of $p_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the expected dimension $w_{\det(\Sigma^+)\otimes L^2}$ of the corresponding twisted Seiberg-Witten equations.*
*(ii) There exists a dense open set of parameters $\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ the complement of the zero section in the fibrations*

$$\bigcup_{(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{m})\in\mathcal{M}_\rho} H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(N_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}_\rho := p^{-1}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathfrak{p})\ , i = 1, 2$$

*is smooth of the expected dimensions $w_{\det(\Sigma^+)\otimes L^2} \mp index_{\mathbb{R}}((N_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{p}}))$.*

**Proof:** The first assertion follows as in [KM]. Note that only $K$-perturbations are sufficient for this transversality result.

To prove the existence of the open set $\mathcal{P}_0$ with the above properties, consider first the product

$$\Pi_\rho := [\mathcal{A}(M\otimes L^\vee)\times A^0(\Sigma^+\otimes L)]\times[\mathcal{A}^1(M\otimes\bar{L})\times A^0(\Sigma^+\otimes M)\setminus\{(0,0)\}]\times\mathcal{P}_1 ,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_1\subset\mathcal{P}$ is a dense open set of regular values for the map $\mathcal{M}_\rho\longrightarrow\mathcal{P}$. This means in particular that $\mathcal{M}^1_\rho := \mathcal{M}_\rho\cap p_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_1)\longrightarrow\mathcal{P}_1$ is a locally trivial fibre bundle.

We denote by $A_c$ the $PU(2)$-connection in $\mathbb{P}(E)$ corresponding to a connection $c\in\mathcal{A}(M\otimes L^\vee)$.

The abelian gauge group $\mathcal{G}_\rho=\mathcal{C}^\infty(X,S^1)$ acts on $\Pi_\rho$ from the left by the formula

$$(c,\Phi,\alpha,\varphi,\mathfrak{p})\cdot g=\left((c^{g^2},\ g\Phi,\ g^{-2}\alpha,\ g^{-1}\varphi,\mathfrak{p}\right)\ .$$

This action is induced from the action of the whole gauge group $SU(E)$ via the inclusion $\mathcal{G}_\rho=U(L)\subset SU(E)$ associated with the splitting $\rho$.

On $\Pi_\rho$ we consider the following equations, which ask that the pair $(A_c,\Phi)$ is a $\rho$-reducible abelian solution of the $\mathfrak{p}$-perturbed $PU(2)$-monopole equations and that $(\alpha,\varphi)$ lies in $\mathbb{H}^1(N_{A_b,\Phi,\mathfrak{p}})\setminus\{0\}$. In other words $(\alpha,\varphi)$ is a non-trivial infinitesimal deformation in the normal- (with respect to $\mathcal{M}_\rho$) direction of the abelian $PU(2)$-monopole $(A_c,\Phi)$.

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\not{D}_c\Phi+\lambda\Phi & =0\\
-F_c-\Gamma^{-1}(K(\Phi\bar{\Phi})_0) & =0\\
(\not{D}_c+\lambda)\varphi+\gamma(\alpha)\Phi & =0\\
d_c^+\alpha-\Gamma^{-1}\left[K(\varphi\bar{\Phi})_0-\sum\limits_i K_i\left[\alpha(X_i)(\Phi\bar{\Phi})_0+\nabla_{c,X_i}(\varphi\bar{\Psi})\right]\right] & =0\\
d_c^*\alpha-\varphi\bar{\Phi} & =0\ .
\end{array}\right.\qquad (N_\rho)$$

In the second equation the notations $(\ \cdot\ )_0$ means the projection of the tensor product $(\Sigma^+\otimes\bar{\Sigma}^+)\otimes(L\otimes\bar{L})$ on $(\Sigma^+\otimes\bar{\Sigma}^+)_0$; in the fourth equation it means the projection of $(\Sigma^+\otimes\bar{\Sigma}^+)\otimes(M\otimes\bar{L})$ on $(\Sigma^+\otimes\bar{\Sigma}^+)_0\otimes(M\otimes\bar{L})$ .

The effect of a gauge transformation $g$ is:

$$b\longmapsto(b)^{g^{-2}}=g^2\circ d_b\circ g^{-2}\ ,\quad \bar{\Phi}\longmapsto\bar{\Phi}g\ ,\quad \varphi\bar{\Phi}\longmapsto g^2\varphi\bar{\Phi}\ ,\quad d_b^+\alpha\longmapsto g^2 d_b^+\alpha\ ,$$

hence the system is gauge invariant. The (finite dimensional) quotient

$$\mathcal{M}^{N_\rho} := {}^{\{\text{solutions of }(N_\rho)\}}\big/_{\mathcal{G}}$$

analytic space which fibres over the smooth manifold $\mathcal{M}^1_\rho$, via $(c, \Phi, \alpha, \varphi, \mathfrak{p}) \longmapsto (A_c, \Phi, \mathfrak{p})$. The fibre over $[(A, \Phi, \mathfrak{p})] \in \mathcal{M}^1_\rho$ is the pointed harmonic vector space $\mathbb{H}^1(N_{A_b,\Phi,\mathfrak{p}}) \setminus \{0\}$.

The same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.20 give

**Claim.** The function defined by the left hand side of the system $N_\rho$ is a submersion, in particular $\mathcal{M}^{N_\rho}$ is a smooth manifold.

This ends the proof of Proposition 2.2.4.

■

**Corollary 3.2.3** *1. If the index* $\chi(N_{A,\Psi,\mathfrak{p}})$ *of the normal elliptic complex* $N_{A,\Psi,\mathfrak{p}}$ *is negative, and* $w_{\det(\Sigma^+)\otimes L^2} = 0$ *then* $\mathcal{A}^{SW}_\rho \cap p_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ *is smooth, closed and open in* $\mathcal{A}^{SW}$ *for any* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

*In this case the dimension of any abelian moduli spaces* $\mathcal{M}_\rho \cap p_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ *with* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ *is larger than the expected dimension of the* $PU(2)$*-monopole equation.*
*2. If the rank of of the normal elliptic complex* $N_{\mathfrak{p},A,\Psi}$ *is non-negative and* $w_{\det(\Sigma^+)\otimes L^2=0}$*, then* $\mathcal{A}^{SW} \cap p_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ *is also smooth in the points of* $\mathcal{A}_\rho \cap p_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ *and* $\mathcal{A}^{SW}_\rho \cap p_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ *is a smooth closed submanifold of* $\mathcal{A}^{SW} \cap p_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$*, for any* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

For a perturbation parameter $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$, denote by $\mathcal{N}^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ the moduli space of solutions of the equation $SW(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$.

Using all the results, we can conclude with the following

**Theorem 3.2.4** *Suppose that the Donaldson moduli space* $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M}^\sigma_a$ *of contains no abelian solutions.*

*Then for any parameter* $\mathfrak{p}$ *in a dense second category subset of the parameter space* $\mathcal{P}$*, the perturbed moduli space* $\mathcal{N}^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p}} \setminus \mathcal{D}$ *is smooth of the expected dimension in the complement of the abelian locus, and it is smooth in the abelian points which have abelian expected dimension* $0$.

## 3.3 The Uhlenbeck compactification of the perturbed moduli spaces

### 3.3.1 Estimates for solutions of the perturbed equations

Because of the term $\sum_i (K_i \otimes \mathrm{id}) \nabla_{A,Y_i}(\mu_{00}(\Psi))$ in the second perturbed equation it is no longer possible to get an apriori $\mathcal{C}^0$-bound of the spinor component on the space of solutions, even for perturbations $(\lambda, K, K_i)$ close to $(0, \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{ad}_+}, 0)$. However one can easily prove the following result.

Fix a closed oriented Riemannian 4-manifold $(X, g)$, $L$ a Hermitian line bundle on $X$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(L)$.

**Proposition 3.3.1** *Let $M = M_{(g,a)}$ be the apriori $\mathcal{C}^0$-bound for the spinor component on the non-perturbed moduli space given by the Weitzenböck formula (Proposition 2.1.8), and let $\varepsilon$ be a positive number. If $\lambda$ is small enough in the $\mathcal{C}^1$-topology, $K - \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{ad}_+}$, $K_i$ are sufficiently small in the $\mathcal{C}^0$-topology, then for every $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma$ of determinant $L$ in $X$ and any solution $(A, \Psi)$ of the perturbed $PU(2)$-equations $SW^{\sigma}_{a,(\lambda,K,K_i)}$ with*

$$\sup_X |\Psi| < M + \varepsilon \qquad (o)$$

*satisfies*

$$\sup_X |\Psi| \leq M + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \qquad (c)$$

**Proof:** Suppose for simplicity that $\det(P^u)$ is trivial, and $a \in \mathcal{A}(\det(P^u)$ is the flat connection.

For arbitrary sections $\mu \in A^0(P^u \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^4) \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma(P^u))$, $\Phi \in \Sigma^+(P^u)$ and arbitrary connection $B \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{(}\delta(P^u))$ we have the identity

$$\not{D}_{\gamma,B}(\mu\Phi) = -\mu \not{D}_{\gamma,B}\Phi + \not{D}_\gamma(\mu)\Psi - 2(\mu \cdot \gamma(\nabla_{\gamma,A}\Phi))$$

where on the right $\not{D}_\gamma$ denotes a Dirac type operator

$$\not{D} : A^0(P^u \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^4) \longrightarrow A^0(\mathrm{ad}_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{End}(\Sigma^+(P^u))$$

and $\cdot$ denotes the contraction with the complexified Riemannian metric in $P^u \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^4$.

If $(A, \Psi)$ is a solution of the perturbed equations associated to the perturbing parameter $\mathfrak{p} = (\lambda, K, (K_i))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\not{D}^2_{\gamma,A}(\Psi), \Psi\right) &= (\not{D}_{\gamma,A}(-\lambda\Psi), \Psi) = \\ &= (\lambda(\not{D}_{\gamma,A}\Psi), \Psi) - (\not{D}_\gamma(\lambda)(\Psi), \Psi) + 2((\lambda \cdot \nabla_{\gamma,A}\Psi), \Psi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\Gamma(F_A^+)(\Psi), \Psi) = (K\mu_{00}(\Psi)(\Psi), \Psi) - \left(\sum_i K_i[\nabla_{\gamma,A,Y_i}\mu_{00}(\Psi)](\Psi), \Psi\right)$$

Using again the Weitzenböck formula

$$(\not{D}^2_{\gamma,A}(\Psi), \Psi) = (\nabla_A^*\nabla_A\Psi, \Psi) + (\Gamma(F_A^+)(\Psi), \Psi) + \frac{s}{4}|\Psi|^2 \ ,$$

we see that pointwise

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_A^*\nabla_A\Psi, \Psi) \ & \leq -|(\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0|^2 - \tfrac{s}{4}|\Psi|^2 + \\ & +c_1|K - \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{ad}_+}| \ |\Psi|^4 + c_2\left(\textstyle\sum_i |K_i|\right)|\Psi|^3|\nabla_A\Psi| + \\ & +c_3|\lambda| \ |\Psi||\nabla_A\Psi| + c_4|\nabla_\gamma(\lambda)| \ |\Psi|^2 \leq \\ & \\ & \leq -|(\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0|^2 - \tfrac{s}{4}|\Psi|^2 + \\ & +c_1|K - \mathrm{id}_{su(\Sigma^+)}| \ |\Psi|^4 + \tfrac{c_2}{2}\left(\textstyle\sum_i |K_i|\right)|\Psi|^2(|\Psi|^2 + |\nabla_A\Psi|^2) + \\ & +\tfrac{c_3}{2}|\lambda| \ (|\Psi|^2 + |\nabla_A\Psi|^2) + c_4|\nabla_\gamma(\lambda)| \ |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i$ are positive constants. Recall (see the proof of Proposition 2.1.8) that it exists a positive constant $C'$ such that $|(\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0| \geq C'|\Psi|^2$. Put

$$u := |\lambda| \ , \quad v := |K - \mathrm{id}_{su(E)}| \ , \quad w := \sum_i |K_i| \ , \quad z := |\nabla_\gamma\lambda| \ .$$

The inequality above gives now

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_A^*\nabla_A\Psi, \Psi) \leq \ & -(C' - c_1 v - \tfrac{c_2}{2}w)|\Psi|^4 - (\tfrac{s}{4} - \tfrac{c_3}{2} \ u - c_4 \ z)|\Psi|^2 + \\ & +(\tfrac{c_2}{2} \ w \ |\Psi|^2 + \tfrac{c_3}{2} \ u)|\nabla_A\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the bound $M$ of the spinor component on the non-perturbed moduli space given by Proposition 2.1.8 is $M := \max(0, \frac{\sup(-s)}{4C'})$.

Using the identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Psi|^2 = (\nabla_A^*\nabla_A\Psi, \Psi) - |\nabla_A\Psi|^2 \ ,$$

the inequality above gives then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|\Psi|^2 \leq \ & -|\nabla_A\Psi|^2(1 - \tfrac{c_3}{2} \ u - \tfrac{c_2}{2} \ w \ |\Psi|^2) - \\ & \\ & -([C' - c_1 v - \tfrac{c_2}{2}w)|\Psi|^4 - (\tfrac{s}{4} - \tfrac{c_3}{2} \ u - c_4 \ z)|\Psi|^2 \end{aligned} \qquad (i)$$

In a point $x$ where the absolute maximum $M_\Psi$ of $|\Psi|^2$ is obtained, we get by the maximum principle:

$$\begin{gathered} 0 \leq \tfrac{1}{2}(\Delta|\Psi|^2)(x) \leq \\ -|\nabla_A \Psi(x)|^2 \left(1 - \tfrac{c_3}{2} u(x) - \tfrac{c_2}{2} w(x)\ M_\Psi\right) - \\ -\left(C' - c_1 v(x) - \tfrac{c_2}{2} w(x)\right) M_\Psi^2 - \left(\tfrac{s(x)}{4} - \tfrac{c_3}{2} u(x) - c_4 z(x)\right) M_\Psi \end{gathered}$$

We have assumed $M_\Psi < M + \varepsilon$, hence the bracket

$$\left(1 - \frac{c_3}{2} u(x) - \frac{c_2}{2} w(x)\ M_\Psi\right)$$

becomes a positive number as soon as $\sup u$ and $\sup w$ are sufficiently small, such that

$$1 - \frac{c_3}{2} \sup u - \frac{c_2}{2} \sup w (M + \varepsilon) > 0\ .$$

But then we get as in the non-perturbed case

$$\left(C' - c_1 v(x) - \frac{c_2}{2} w(x)\right) M_\Psi \leq -\left(\frac{s(x)}{4} - \frac{c_3}{2} u(x) - c_4 z(x)\right)$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we get the estimate

$$M_\Psi \leq -\frac{s(x)}{4C'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \frac{\sup(-s)}{4C'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \qquad (c)$$

as soon as $\sup u$, $\sup v$, $\sup w$ and $\sup z$ are sufficiently small with respect to $\varepsilon$ and with respect to the constants $c_i^{-1}$. ■

Fix a positive number $\varepsilon$. By definition the perturbed moduli space $\mathcal{M}^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ is defined to be the moduli space of solutions $(A, \Psi)$ of $SW^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfying the non-strict inequality $(o)$, where the perturbation $\mathfrak{p} = (\lambda, K, K_i)$ is sufficiently close to $(0, \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{ad}_+}, 0)$, such that the two inequalities $(o)$ and $(c)$ become equivalent. We use the first inequality (which is an open condition) to endow $\mathcal{M}^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p},\varepsilon}$ with the structure of an analytic space (smooth outside the locus $\Psi = 0$, for generic perturbation $\mathfrak{p}$) and we use the second inequality to construct an Uhlenbeck compactification of $\mathcal{M}^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$.

We say for simplicity that a perturbation-parameter $\mathfrak{p} = (\lambda, K, K_i)$ is "small" with respect to a Sobolev or a $\mathcal{C}^k$-topology if $(\lambda, K - \mathrm{id}_{ad_+}, (K_i))$ is "small" with respect to that topology.

**Theorem 3.3.2** *(Local estimates) There is a positive constant* $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ *such that for any Clifford map* $\gamma'$ *on* $\bar{B}$ *which is sufficiently* $\mathcal{C}^3$*-close to* $\gamma_0$ *and every* $a \in A^1(u(1))$ *sufficiently small in the* $\mathcal{C}^2$*-topology, the following holds:*

*For any solution* $(A, \Psi)$ *of the perturbed* $PU(2)$*-monopole equation for the triple* $(\mathfrak{u}_{\gamma'}, a', \mathfrak{p}')$ *over the open ball* $B$ *satisfying*
*i)* $d_0^* A = 0$*,*
*ii)* $\| A \|_{L^4} \leq \varepsilon_2$*,* $\sup_B |\Psi| < \infty$
*and any interior domain* $D \Subset B$*, one has estimates of the form :*

$$\begin{aligned}\| A \|_{L^2_l(D)} &\leq p_{D,l,\gamma',a',\mathfrak{p}'}(\sup_B |\Psi|, \| A \|_{L^4}) \ , \\ \| \Psi \|_{L^2_l(D)} &\leq q_{D,l,\gamma',a',\mathfrak{p}'}(\sup_B |\Psi|, \| A \|_{L^4})\end{aligned}$$

*where* $p_{D,l,\gamma',a',\mathfrak{p}'}$*,* $q_{D,l,\gamma',a',\mathfrak{p}'}$ *are polynomials with*

$$p_{D,l,\gamma',a',\mathfrak{p}'}(0,0) = 0 \ , \quad q_{D,l,\gamma',a',\mathfrak{p}'}(0,\cdot) = 0 \ .$$

**Proof:**

We use the same notations and method as in the proof of 2.1.4 but a different order of obtaining the estimates. We treat only the case of $L^2_1(D)$-norms:

Let $\varphi$ be a cut-off function supported in the open ball $B$ which is identically 1 in a neighbourhood of $\bar{D}$. Then $\psi := \varphi\Psi$ extends as section in the spinor bundle $S^+_s \otimes E_s$ and $\alpha := \varphi A$ extends as a $su(2)$-valued 1-form on the sphere. $\Psi$ solves the first perturbed Seiberg-Witten equation, hence

$$\not{D}_{\gamma',a',A} + \lambda = \not{D}_{\gamma',a'} + \gamma'(A) + \lambda \ ,$$

we get

$$\not{D}_{\gamma,a}(\varphi\Psi) = \gamma(d\varphi)\Psi + \varphi\not{D}_{\gamma,a}\Psi = \gamma(d\varphi)\Psi - \varphi(\gamma(A) + \lambda)\Psi \qquad (sw)$$

We obtain an elliptic estimate of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\| \psi \|_{L^2_1} \leq const \, \| (\not{D}_{\gamma,a} + \lambda)\psi \|_{L^2} &\leq const_D(\sup |\Psi| + \| A \|_{L^4} \| \psi \|_{L^4}) \\ &\leq const'_D(\sup |\Psi| + \| A \|_{L^4} \| \psi \|_{L^2_1})\end{aligned}$$

where $const_D$ depends on $\lambda$ and on $D$ via $d\varphi$. Here we have used the bounded inclusion $L^2_1 \subset L^4$.

If $\| A \|_{L^4}$ is sufficiently small, we get an estimate of the form

$$\| \psi \|_{L^2_1} \leq const_D \, [\sup |\Psi|] \tag{1}$$

Since, by hypothesis, the $su(2)$-valued 1-form $A$ on $\bar{B}$ is a solution in Coulomb gauge of the second monopole equation, it satisfies the following non-linear equation

$$\delta_\gamma A + (A \wedge A)^+_\gamma = \Gamma_\gamma^{-1} \left( K\mu_{00}(\Psi) - \sum_i K_i \nabla_{A,a,Y_i}[\mu_{00}(\Psi)] \right) \, .$$

On the other hand $d^*_s(\alpha) = \varphi d^*_s A - A(\nabla\varphi) = -A(\nabla\varphi)$, where $\nabla$ means the gradient with respect to the fixed metric $g_s$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\gamma \alpha &= -A(\nabla\varphi) + \varphi d^+_\gamma A + (d\varphi \wedge A)^+_\gamma \\ &= -A(\nabla\varphi) + (d\varphi \wedge A)^+_\gamma + \varphi \Big[ \Gamma_\gamma^{-1}[K\mu_{00}(\Psi) - \sum_i K_i \nabla_{A,a,Y_i}[\mu_{00}(\Psi)]] \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - (A \wedge A)^+_\gamma \quad \Big] . \end{aligned} \tag{$sw'$}$$

Writing $\nabla_{A,a,Y_i} = \nabla_{0,a,Y_i} + A$, and one gets an estimate of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \| \alpha \|_{L^2_1} \leq const_D \quad &\Big( \| A \|_{L^4} + \sup |\Psi|^2 + \sup |\Psi| \, \| \Psi \|_{L^2_1} + \sup |\Psi|^2 \, \| A \|_{L^2} \\ &+ \| A \|_{L^4} \| \alpha \|_{L^2_1} \Big) \ , \end{aligned}$$

which gives, for a sufficiently small bound for $\| A \|_{L^4}$, an estimate of the type

$$\| \alpha \|_{L^2_1} \leq const_D \left( \| A \|_{L^4} + \sup |\Psi|^2 + \sup |\Psi| \, \| \Psi \|_{L^2_1} + \sup |\Psi|^2 \, \| A \|_{L^2} \right) \ .$$

Combined with (1), this gives

$$\| \alpha \|_{L^2_1} \leq p_{D,1}(\sup |\Psi|, \| A \|_{L^4}) \tag{1'}$$

for a polynomial $p_{D,1}$ with $p_{D,1}(0,0) = 0$.

■

Combining the "Local estimates" theorem with "Gauge fixing Theorem" we get, as in section 2.1

**Corollary 3.3.3** *(Estimates in terms of the curvature) There exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$, and a neighbourhood $N \subset \mathcal{C}l_B \times A^1_B(u(1))$ of $(\gamma_0, 0)$ with respect to the $\mathcal{C}^3 \times \mathcal{C}^2$-topology with the following property:*

*For any interior ball $D \Subset B$ and any $l \geq 1$ there exist two polynomials $P_{D,l,\gamma,a,\mathfrak{p}}$, $Q_{D,l,\gamma,a,\mathfrak{p}}$ without constant terms, such that every solution $(A, \Psi)$ of the $PU(2)$-monopole equations for the triple $(\mathfrak{u}_\gamma, a, \mathfrak{p})$ with $(\gamma, a) \in N$ and $\| F_A \|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$, is gauge equivalent on $B$ to a pair $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Psi})$ satisfying the estimates*

$$\| \tilde{A} \|_{L^2_l(D)} \leq P_{D,l,\gamma,a,\mathfrak{p}}(\sup_{\bar{B}} |\Psi|, \| F_A \|_{L^2}) \ ,$$

$$\| \tilde{\Psi} \|_{L^2_l(D)} \leq Q_{D,l,\gamma,a,\mathfrak{p}}(\sup_{\bar{B}} |\Psi|, \| F_A \|_{L^2}) \ .$$

This implies (compare with Corollary 2.1.7)

**Corollary 3.3.4** *(Global compactness) Let $(\Omega, g)$ be an oriented Riemannian manifold with a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma : P^u \longrightarrow P_g$ and a fix a connection $a \in \det(P^u)$. Let $(A_n, \Psi_n)$ be a sequence of solutions of the $PU(2)$-monopole equations associated with the triple $(\sigma, a, \mathfrak{p})$ with $\sup_\Omega |\Psi_n|$ uniformly bounded. Suppose that any point $x \in \Omega$ has a geodesic ball neighbourhood $D_x$ such that for all large enough $n$,*

$$\int_{D_x} |F_{A_n}|^2 < \varepsilon^2$$

*where $\varepsilon$ is the constant in Corollary 3.3.3 . Then there is a subsequence $(n_m) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and gauge transformations $u_m \in \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $u_m^* [(A_{m_n}, \Psi_{m_n})]$ converges in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology on $\Omega$.*

### 3.3.2 Regularity results for the perturbed equations

We begin by stating the following slightly stronger form of the regularity result for almost anti-self-dual connections in 4.4.13 [DK]. It can be obtained by precisely the same continuity method.

**Lemma 3.3.5** *(Regularity for small $L^2_1$-connections in Coulomb gauge) Let $g_s$ be a metric with nonnegative sectional curvature on the sphere. There exists a positive number $\varepsilon > 0$, such that any $L^2_1$-connection $A \in L^2_1(\Lambda^1(su(2)))$ with*

*1.* $d^*A = 0$
*2.* $\| A \|_{L^2_1} < \varepsilon$.
*3.* $F_A^+ \in L^2_l$.
*belongs to* $L^2_{l+1}$. *There are polynomials* $p_l$ *with non-negative coefficients and without constant term such that*

$$\| A \|_{L^2_{l+1}} \leq p_l(\| F_A^+ \|_{L^2_l})$$

*for any* $l \geq 1$ *and any connection* $A$ *satisfying 1.-3.*

$\blacksquare$

Let $\gamma$ be the Clifford map of a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure $P^u \longrightarrow P_g$, $\mathfrak{p}$ a perturbation parameter and $a \in \mathcal{A}(\det P^u)$. Put

$$sw^1_{\gamma,a,\mathfrak{p}}(A,\Psi) := \not{D}_{\gamma,a,A}\Psi + \lambda\Psi \ ,$$
$$sw^2_{\gamma,a,\mathfrak{p}}(A,\Psi) := \Gamma_\gamma(F_A^+) - K\mu_{0,0}(\Psi) + \sum_i K_i \nabla_{A,a,Y_i}[\mu_{0,0}(\Psi)]$$

**Proposition 3.3.6** *(Regularity of* $L^2_1$*-almost solutions with* $L^2_1$*-small connection component and* $L^\infty$*- bounded spinor component)*

*Let* $\gamma$ *be a Clifford map on the sphere which is sufficiently* $\mathcal{C}^1$*-close to* $\gamma_s$*, let* $a \in A^1(u(1))$ *sufficiently* $\mathcal{C}^0$*-small, and endow the sphere with the metric* $g := g_\gamma$ *associated with* $\gamma$.

*There exists a positive number* $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that any pair*

$$(A,\Psi) \in L^2_1\left(\Lambda^1(su(2))\right) \times \left[L^2_1\left(\Lambda^0(S^+_s \otimes E_s)\right) \cap L^\infty\left(\Lambda^0(S^+_s \otimes E_s)\right)\right]$$

*with*
*1.* $\| A \|_{L^2_1} < \varepsilon$, $d^*A = 0$,
*2.* $sw^i_{\gamma,a,\mathfrak{p}}(A,\Psi)$ *smooth* $(i = 1,\ 2)$.
*is also smooth.*

**Proof:** Put $\sigma_i := sw^i_{\gamma,a,\mathfrak{p}}(A,\Psi)$.

Note first that for $B \in A^1(\mathrm{End}(E_s))$, $\Phi \in A^0(S^+ \otimes E_s)$ we have the identity

$$\not{D}_\gamma[\gamma(B)\Phi] = [\Gamma_\gamma(d^+B) + d^*B]\Phi - \gamma(B)\not{D}_\gamma\Phi - 2(B \cdot \nabla_\gamma\Phi)$$

where the contraction $\cdot$ in the last term acts by

$$(\beta \otimes \theta) \cdot (\alpha \otimes s^+ \otimes \varphi) = g(\beta, \alpha) s^+ \otimes \theta(\varphi) \ ,$$

for $\beta,\ \alpha \in \Lambda^1$, $s^+ \in S^+$, $\theta \in \mathrm{End}(E_s)$.

Write the operator $\not{D}_{\gamma,A,a}$ as $\not{D}_\gamma + \gamma(A) + \gamma(\alpha)$, where $\alpha := \gamma^{-1}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}a$. Using the elliptic equation,

$$\not{D}_\gamma(\Psi) = -[\gamma(A) + \gamma(\alpha)]\Psi + \sigma_1 \tag{1}$$

and the condition $A \in L^2_1 \subset L^4$, $\Psi \in L^\infty$, we get $\Psi \in L^4_1$.

Apply the operator $\not{D}_\gamma$ to the equation (1), and use the Coulomb-gauge condition. We get that $\Psi$ is a distribution-solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\not{D}^2_\gamma(\Psi) = \ & -\Gamma(d^+A)(\Psi) - [\Gamma(d^+(\alpha)) + d^*(\alpha)]\Psi + [\gamma(A) + \gamma(\alpha)]\not{D}_\gamma\Psi \\ & \quad +2\,[(A+\alpha)\cdot\nabla_\gamma\Psi] = \\ & = -\Gamma(d^+A)(\Psi) - [\Gamma(d^+(\alpha)) + d^*(\alpha)]\Psi - [\gamma(A) + \gamma(\alpha)]^2\Psi \\ & \quad [\gamma(A) + \gamma(\alpha)]\sigma_1 + 2\,[(A+\alpha)\cdot\nabla_\gamma\Psi]\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Since $\Psi \in L^4_1 \cap L^\infty$ and $A \in L^2_1 \subset L^4$ one can easily check that the right-hand term belongs to $L^2$, hence $\Psi \in L^2_2$.

$$\Gamma_\gamma(F^+_A) = K\mu_{0,0}(\Psi) - \sum_i K_i \nabla_{A,a,Y_i}[\mu_{0,0}(\Psi)] + \sigma_2 \tag{3}$$

Writing $\nabla_{A,a,Y_i}[\mu_{0,0}(\Psi)] = \nabla_{Y_i}[\mu_{0,0}(\Psi)] + \left[(A + \frac{a}{2})(Y_i), \mu_{0,0}(\Psi)\right]$, we get now easily (using $\Psi \in L^\infty \cap L^2_2$, $A \in L^2_1 \subset L^4$) that the right hand term in (3) belongs to $L^2_1$. We choose now $\varepsilon$ as given by Lemma 3.3.5 and get $A \in L^2_2$. Now estimate the first derivatives of the second term in (1). Taking into account that $L^2_2 \subset L^p$ for every $p \geq 1$, we get that this derivatives belong to $L^{4-\lambda}$, hence $\Psi \in L^{4-\lambda}_2$, for every $\lambda > 0$.

Now we can prove that right hand term in (2) belongs to $L^2_1$. For instance we can estimate the term $A \cdot \nabla_\gamma\Psi$ as follows

$$\parallel \nabla_\gamma(A \cdot \nabla_\gamma\Psi) \parallel_{L^2} \leq \parallel A \parallel_{L^4_1} \parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^4_1} + \parallel A \parallel_{L^p} \parallel \Psi \parallel_{L^{4-\lambda}_2}$$

for every $p$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{4-\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore $\Psi \in L^2_3$, which is a Banach algebra. It follows that the right hand term in (3) is in $L^2_2$, which implies $A \in L^2_3$, by Lemma 3.3.5. Since we are now in the "stable range", we can prove easily by induction that $\Psi,\ A \in L^2_k$, for every $k > 3$. $\blacksquare$

### 3.3.3 Removable singularities and Uhlenbeck compactification for the perturbed moduli spaces

We mention without proof the following result, which is analogous to of Lemma 2.2.3.

**Remark 3.3.7** *The conclusion of Lemma 2.2.3 holds also for pairs* $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{P}(E_s|_{S^\bullet})) \times A^0(S_s^+ \otimes E_s|_{S^\bullet})$ *which solve the* *perturbed* $PU(2)$*-monopole equation in a punctured neighbourhood of* $0$ *if we assume*

$$\int\limits_{S^\bullet} |F_A|^2 < 8\pi^2 \ , \quad \sup_{S^\bullet} |\Psi| < \infty \ .$$

Let $a \in A^1(B, u(1))$, and $\mathfrak{p}$ a perturbing parameter for the $PU(2)$-monopole equations on the ball.

**Theorem 3.3.8** *(Removable singularities) Let* $(A_0, \Psi_0)$ *be a solution of the perturbed* $PU(2)$*-monopole equations for the triple* $(\mathfrak{u}_\gamma|_{B^\bullet}, a|_{B^\bullet}, \mathfrak{p}|_{B^\bullet})$ *such that*

$$\int_{B^\bullet} |F_{A_0}|^2 < \infty \ , \quad \sup_{S^\bullet} |\Psi| < \infty \ . \ .$$

*There exists a solution* $(\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{\Psi}_0)$ *of the perturbed monopole equation for the triple* $(\mathfrak{u}_\gamma, a, \mathfrak{p})$ *and a gauge transformation* $\rho \in SU(E_0|_{B^\bullet})$ *such that*

$$\rho^*(\tilde{A}_0|_{B^\bullet}, \tilde{\Psi}_0|_{B^\bullet}) = (A_0, \Psi_0) \ .$$

**Proof:** The proof is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2.4. The only difference is the way in which one gets a uniform bound for the $L^2_1$-norms of the pairs $(\tilde{C}_{R,r}, \tilde{\Phi}_{R,r})$ as $r \to 0$. For the connection component this follows immediately from (the result, which is analogous to of) formulas (19), (20) in the proof of Theorem 2.2.4. For the spinor component this follows immediately from (19) and the elliptic estimates associated to the equation

$$(\not{D}_{0,a} + \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_{R,r} = (\not{D}_{\tilde{C}_{R,r},a} + \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_{R,r} - \gamma(\tilde{C}_{R,r})\tilde{\Phi}_{R,r} \ .$$

■

Let $(X, g)$ be a compact oriented Riemannian 4-manifold and $L$ a Hermitian line bundle on $X$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(L)$. Since we are going to work with different $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structures in $X$ it is more convenient to identify the space $\mathcal{P}$ of perturbations with $\Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times GL(\Lambda^2_+) \times \prod_i \mathrm{End}(\Lambda^2_+)$, which depends only on the base manifold $(X, g)$. Fix a positive number $\varepsilon > 0$ and denote by $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{P}$ the open $\mathcal{C}^1$-neighbourhood of $(0, \mathrm{id}_{\Lambda^2_+}, 0)$ in the space of perturbations $\mathcal{P} := \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times GL(\Lambda^2_+) \times \prod_i \mathrm{End}(\Lambda^2_+)$ which is given by Proposition 3.3.1. $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ has the property that the conditions $(o)$ and $(c)$ in 3.3.1. become equivalent, for any $Spin^{(U(2)}(4)$-structure of determinant $L$, as soon as the perturbation parameter belongs to $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$.

We recall that for a $Spin^{(U(2)}(4)$-structure $\sigma$ of determinant $L$, and perturbation parameter $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ the perturbed moduli space $\mathcal{M}^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ was defined to be the space of solutions $[(A, \Psi)]$ of the corresponding perturbed equations which satisfy one of the two equivalent inequalities $(o)$, $(c)$.

**Definition 3.3.9** *For $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ we define the moduli space $I\mathcal{M}^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ of ideal monopoles of type $(\sigma, a, \mathfrak{p})$ to be the space of pairs $([A', \Psi'], s)$ consisting of an element $s = \{x_1, \dots, x_l\})$ in the $l$-th-symmetric power $S^l(X)$ of $X$, and a monopole $[A', \Psi'] \in \mathcal{M}^{\sigma'}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\sigma' : P'^u \longrightarrow P_g$ is the $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$-structure on $X$ characterized by*

$$\det(P'^u) = L\ ,\quad l = \frac{1}{4}\left(p_1(\bar{\delta}(P'^u)) - (p_1(\bar{\delta}(P^u))\right)\ .$$

An essential point needed in the definition of the metric topology on the non-perturbed space of ideal monopoles was the existence of an apriori bound for the measures $|F_A|^2 + 8\pi^2 \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$ associated to ideal solutions. This follows immediately in the non-perturbed case from the $\mathcal{C}^0$-boundedness of the spinor component, which gives a bound of the measure $|F_A^+|$. Because of the term $\sum\limits_i K_i(\nabla_{a,A,Y_i}(\mu_{00}(\Psi))$ in the second perturbed equation, the boundedness of the measure $|F_A^+|^2$ is no longer obvious, but follows easily from the following

**Lemma 3.3.10** *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$. There is an apriori bound of the total volume of the measure $|\nabla_A \Psi|^2$ on the space $I\mathcal{M}^\sigma_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ of ideal monopoles of type $(\sigma, a, \mathfrak{p})$.*

**Proof:** Integrate the inequality $(i)$ in the proof of Proposition 3.3.1, and recall that by the definition of $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$, the bracket $(1 - \frac{c_3}{2}\, u - \frac{c_2}{2}\, w\, (M + \varepsilon)^2)$ which bounds from below the coefficient of $|\nabla_A \Psi|^2$ in this inequality, is positive. Then use the boundedness of the spinor. ■

Put now a metric topology on the space $I\mathcal{M}^{\sigma}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ of ideal monopoles of type $(\sigma, a, \mathfrak{p})$ precisely as in the non-perturbed case. With this definition one has

**Theorem 3.3.11** *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}$ The moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\sigma}_{a,\mathfrak{p}} \subset I\mathcal{M}^{\sigma}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ is an open subspace with compact closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}^{\sigma}_{a}}$.*

**Proof:** Consider a sequence $[(A_n, \Psi_n)] \in \mathcal{M}^{\sigma}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$. As in the non-perturbed case, we show using the compactness results and the "Removable Singularities" theorem above, that there exists a subsequence $(n_m) \subset \mathbb{N}$, a finite set $\{x_1, \dots .x_l\}$ and gauge transformations $f_m$ such that
1. The sequence of measures $|F_{A_{n_m}}|^2$ converges weakly to a measure $\mu$.
2. $(B_m, \Phi_m) := f^*_m(A_{n_m}, \Psi_{n_m})$ converges on $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology to a solution $(A_0, \Psi_0)$ which can be extended to a solution $(\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{\Psi}_0)$ of the perturbed equations $SW^{\sigma'}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ associated to a $Spin^{U(2)}(4)$ structure $\sigma'$.

Moreover one has

$$\mu = |F_{\tilde{A}_0}|^2 + 8\pi^2 \sum \lambda_i \delta_{x_i}$$

It only remains to prove that the $\lambda_i$'s are integers and that $\sum\limits_i \lambda_i = \frac{1}{4}\Big(p_1(\bar{\delta}(P'^u) - p_1(\bar{\delta}(P^u))\Big)$.

This follows with the same method as in the non-perturbed case, but one needs the below lemma, which shows that the self-dual component of the curvature-sequence associated to a sequence of smooth solutions converging to a singular solution cannot bubble in the singularities. This is of course obvious in the non-perturbed case. ■

**Lemma 3.3.12** *Let $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$. There exists a positive constant $C$ with the following property: For every $r > 0$, $\eta > 0$ there exists $n_{r,\eta} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\int\limits_{B(x,r)} |F^+_{B_m}|^2 < CVol(B(x,r)) + \eta \ , \quad \forall m \geq n_{r,\eta}$$

**Proof:** Indeed, by the second monopole equation and the apriori boundedness of the spinor component one gets an uniform estimate of the form

$$\int\limits_{B(x,r)} |F^+_{B_m}|^2 \leq C_1 Vol(B(x,r)) + C_2 \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |\nabla_{B_m}\Phi_m|^2 \ .$$

Using the same argument as in Lemma 3.3.10 and the notations of Proposition 3.3.1, we get further

$$\int\limits_{B(x,r)} |F^+_{B_m}|^2 \leq C_1 Vol(B(x,r)) + C_3 \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (1 - \frac{c_3}{2}\, u - \frac{c_2}{2}\, w\ (\Phi_m + \varepsilon)^2)|\nabla_{B_m}\Phi_m|^2$$

Now we integrate the inequality $(i)$ in the proof of Proposition 3.3.1 using Stokes Theorem on the left. By the boundedness of the spinor component again, we get an estimate of the form

$$\int\limits_{B(x,r)} (1 - \frac{c_3}{2}\, u - \frac{c_2}{2}\, w\ (\Phi_m + \varepsilon)^2)|\nabla_{B_m}\Phi_m|^2 \leq C_4 Vol(B(x,r)) + \left| \int\limits_{S(x,r)} *d|\Phi_n|^2 \right|$$

But in a neighbourhood of $S(x,r)$ we have $\Phi_m \to \tilde{\Psi}_0$ in the Fréchet $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology, hence we can find $n_{r,\eta} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \int\limits_{S(x,r)} *d|\Phi_n|^2 \right| \leq \left| \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |\Delta\tilde{\Psi}_0|^2 \right| + \eta \leq C_4 Vol(B(x,r)) + \eta \quad , \quad \forall m \geq n_{r,\eta}\ ,$$

for a constant $C_4$ depending on $\tilde{\Psi}_0$.

$\blacksquare$

$\blacksquare$

# References

[AHS] Atiyah M., Hitchin N. J., Singer I. M.: *Selfduality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. R. Lond. A. 362, 425-461 (1978)

[D] Donaldson, S.: *Anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3, 1-26 (1985)

[DK] Donaldson, S.; Kronheimer, P.B.: *The Geometry of four-manifolds*, Oxford Science Publications 1990

[FU] Freed D. S. ; Uhlenbeck, K.: *Instantons and Four-Manifolds.* Springer-Verlag 1984

[GS] Guillemin, V.; Sternberg, S.: *Birational equivalence in the symplectic category*, Inv. math. 97, 485-522 (1989)

[HH] Hirzebruch, F.; Hopf, H.: *Felder von Flächenelementen in 4-dimensionalen 4-Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. 136 (1958)

[H] Hitchin, N.: *Harmonic spinors*, Adv. in Math. 14, 1-55 (1974)

[HKLR] Hitchin, N.; Karlhede, A.; Lindström, U.; Roček, M.: *Hyperkähler metrics and supersymmetry*, Commun. Math. Phys. (108), 535-589 (1987)

[K] Kobayashi, S.: *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Princeton University Press 1987

[KM] Kronheimer, P.; Mrowka, T.: *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, Math. Res. Letters 1, 797-808 (1994)

[LL] Li, T.; Liu, A.: *General wall crossing formula*, Math. Res. Lett. 2, 797-810 (1995).

[LM] Labastida, J. M. F.; Marino, M.: *Non-abelian monopoles on four manifolds*, Preprint, Departamento de Fisica de Particulas, Santiago de Compostela, April (1995)

[La] Larsen, R.: *Functional analysis, an introduction*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973

[LMi] Lawson, H. B. Jr.; Michelson, M. L.: *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1989

[LT] Lübke, M.; Teleman, A.: *The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence*, World Scientific Publishing Co. 1995

[M] Miyajima, K.: *Kuranishi families of vector bundles and algebraic description of the moduli space of Einstein-Hermitian connections*, Publ. R.I.M.S. Kyoto Univ. 25, 301-320 (1989)

[OST] Okonek, Ch.; Schmitt, A.; Teleman, A.: *Master spaces for stable pairs*, Preprint, alg-geom/9607015

[OT1] Okonek, Ch.; Teleman, A.: *The Coupled Seiberg-Witten Equations, Vortices, and Moduli Spaces of Stable Pairs*, Int. J. Math. Vol. 6, No. 6, 893-910 (1995)

[OT2] Okonek, Ch.; Teleman, A.: *Les invariants de Seiberg-Witten et la conjecture de Van De Ven*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 321, Série I, 457-461 (1995)

[OT3] Okonek, Ch.; Teleman, A.: *Seiberg-Witten invariants and rationality of complex surfaces*, Math. Z., to appear

[OT4] Okonek, Ch.; Teleman, A.: *Quaternionic monopoles*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 321, Série I, 601-606 (1995)

[OT5] Ch, Okonek.; Teleman, A.: *Quaternionic monopoles*, Commun. Math. Phys., Vol 180, Nr. 2, 363-388, (1996)

[OT6] Ch, Okonek.; Teleman, A.: *Seiberg-Witten invariants for manifolds with* $b_+ = 1$*, and the universal wall crossing formula*, Int. J. Math., to appear

[PT1] Pidstrigach, V.; Tyurin, A.: *Invariants of the smooth structure of an algebraic surface arising from the Dirac operator*, Russian Acad. Izv. Math., Vol. 40, No. 2, 267-351 (1993)

[PT2] Pidstrigach, V.; Tyurin, A.: *Localisation of the Donaldson invariants along the Seiberg-Witten classes*, Russian Acad. Izv. , to appear

[T1] Teleman, A. :*Non-abelian Seiberg-Witten theory*, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Zürich, 1996

[T2] Teleman, A. :*Non-abelian Seiberg-Witten theory and stable oriented pairs*, Preprint, Universität Zürich, (alg-geom/9609020) 1996

[W] Witten, E.: *Monopoles and four-manifolds*, Math. Res. Letters 1, 769-796 (1994)

Author's address :

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstr. 190,
CH-8057 Zürich, e-mail: teleman@math.unizh.ch